arXiv:0912.1733v1 [math.AP] 9 Dec 2009

# Hypocoercivity of Linear Degenerately Dissipative Kinetic Equations

Renjun Duan
Johann Radon Institute for Computational and Applied Mathematics
Austrian Academy of Sciences
Altenbergerstrasse 69, A-4040 Linz, Austria
*E-mail: mathrjduan@hotmail.com*

December 3, 2009

**Abstract**

In this paper, we study the hypocoercivity for a class of linear kinetic equations with both transport and degenerately dissipative terms. As concrete examples, the relaxation operator, Fokker-Planck operator and linearized Boltzmann operator are considered. By constructing equivalent temporal energy functionals, time rates of the solution approaching equilibrium in some Hilbert spaces are obtained when the spatial domain takes the whole space or torus and when there is a confining force or not. The main tool of the proof is the macro-micro decomposition combined with Kawashima's argument on dissipation of the hyperbolic-parabolic system. Finally, a Korn-type inequality with probability measure is provided to deal with dissipation of momentum components.

*Keywords:* Hypocoercivity; semigroup; kinetic equation; dissipation

## Contents

**1 Introduction** **1**

**2 Relaxation model** **7**

**3 Fokker-Planck equation** **12**

**4 Boltzmann equation** **15**

**5 Appendix: Poincaré and Korn-type inequalities** **24**

**References** **30**

## 1 Introduction

*1.1 Problems.* In this paper, we consider the linear kinetic equation with both transport and dissipative terms in the form of

$$\partial_t u + \mathsf{T}u = \mathsf{L}u. \tag{1.1}$$

Here, the unknown is $u = u(t, x, \xi)$ with $t > 0$, $x \in \Omega = \mathbb{R}^d$ or $\mathbb{T}^d$, $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$. $d \geq 1$ denotes the space dimension. $\mathsf{T} = \xi \cdot \nabla_x - \nabla_x V \cdot \nabla_\xi$ is a transport operator. $V = V(x)$ is a given stationary external forcing. $\mathsf{L} : L^2_\xi \to L^2_\xi$ is a linear, local, self-adjoint and non-positive operator with $\ker \mathsf{L} \neq \{0\}$. Moreover, $\mathsf{L}$ is degenerately dissipative in the sense that there is a constant $\lambda_{\mathsf{L}} > 0$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u\mathsf{L}u\, d\xi \leq -\lambda_{\mathsf{L}} \|\{\mathsf{I} - \mathsf{P}\}u\|^2_{\mathcal{H}_\xi} \tag{1.2}$$

where $\mathcal{H}_\xi \subset L^2_\xi$ is a Hilbert space with norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}_\xi}$, $\mathsf{I}$ is an identity operator and $\mathsf{P}$ is an orthogonal velocity projection operator from $L^2_\xi$ to $\ker \mathsf{L}$. Given initial data $u(0, x, \xi) = u_0(x, \xi)$, the solution of (1.1) formally takes the form of

$$u(t) = e^{t\mathsf{B}} u_0, \quad \mathsf{B} =: \mathsf{L} - \mathsf{T}.$$

The goal of this paper is to study time-decay rates of $e^{t\mathsf{B}}u_0$ in some Hilbert space under some conditions on $V$ and $u_0$ as time tends to infinity.

*1.2 Literature.* The time rate of convergence of solutions to equilibrium is an important issue in the study of evolution equations. For the linear kinetic equation in the form of (1.1), the main difficulty lies in the fact that the linearized operator is degenerate in a nontrivial finite dimensional space since conservation laws exist for general physical models. However, the interaction between the transport part and the degenerate dissipative part can lead to convergence to equilibrium. This property is called *hypocoercivity* [27].

There have been several well-established methods to study the rate of convergence for the kinetic models such as the relaxation equation, Fokker-Planck equation, Boltzmann equation and Landau equation. Since the literature on this subject is so huge, we only mention some of them which are related to the study of this paper. The non-constructive method by spectral analysis to obtain the exponential rates for the Boltzmann equation with hard potentials on torus was firstly provided by Ukai [25]. The recent refinement of results of [25] can be found in [26]. Energy method of the Boltzmann equation was developed by Liu-Yu [21], Liu-Yang-Yu [20] and Guo [13, 12]. Energy method combined with additional techniques such as velocity weight estimates or spectral analysis [23, 24, 9] was also applied to obtain time decay rates in the framework of perturbations. Another powerful tool is entropy method which works in the non-perturbation framework. By using this method, Desvillettes-Villani [3] obtained firstly the almost exponential rate of convergence of solutions to the Boltzmann equation on torus with soft potentials for large initial data under the additional regularity conditions. Concerning the Fokker-Planck equation, see [1] and references therein. In addition, on the basis of the spectral analysis, the hypoelliptic theory of the Fokker-Planck equation or relaxation Boltzmann equation was developed by Hérau-Nier [15] and Hérau [14, 16].

Recently, some general theory on hypocoercivity was provided in [22, 4, 27]. By constructing some proper Lyapunov functional defined over the Hilbert space, Mouhot-Neumann [22] obtained the exponential rates of convergence in $H^1$-norm for some kinetic models with general structures in the case of torus. An extension of [22] to models in the presence of a confining potential force was given by Dolbeault-Mouhot-Schmeiser [4], where $L^2$-norm was considered. Villani [27] also gave a systematic study of the Hypocoercivity theory. The result on the Fokker-Planck in this paper is inspired by [27].

*1.3 Notations.* Define differential operators $\mathsf{X}_i, \mathsf{Y}_i$ $(1 \leq i \leq d)$ by

$$\mathsf{X}_i u = e^{-\frac{V(x)}{2}} \partial_{x_i} (e^{\frac{V(x)}{2}} u), \quad \mathsf{Y}_i u = e^{-\frac{|\xi|^2}{4}} \partial_{\xi_i} (e^{\frac{|\xi|^2}{4}} u). \tag{1.3}$$

Note that $\mathsf{X}_i, \mathsf{Y}_i$ are equivalent with

$$\mathsf{X}_i = \frac{1}{2}\partial_{x_i} V + \partial_{x_i}, \quad \mathsf{Y}_i u = \frac{1}{2}\xi_i + \partial_{\xi_i}.$$

Define a norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}^1}$ by

$$\|u\|^2_{\mathcal{H}^1} = \|u\|^2 + \|\mathsf{X}u\|^2 + \|\mathsf{Y}u\|^2$$

for $u=u(x,\xi)$. Here and in the sequel, $\|\cdot\|$ means $L^2$-norm over $\mathbb{R}^d_x\times\mathbb{R}^d_\xi$, $\mathsf{X}=(\mathsf{X}_1,\mathsf{X}_2,\cdots,\mathsf{X}_d)$, $\mathsf{Y}=(\mathsf{Y}_1,\mathsf{Y}_2,\cdots,\mathsf{Y}_d)$, and

$$\|\mathsf{X}u\|^2=\sum_{i=1}^d\|\mathsf{X}_iu\|^2,\quad \|\mathsf{Y}u\|^2=\sum_{i=1}^d\|\mathsf{Y}_iu\|^2.$$

For simplicity, when a function under consideration is independent of velocity variable, $\|\cdot\|$ is also used to denote $L^2$-norm over $\mathbb{R}^d_x$ and $\partial_i=\partial_{x_i}$ without any confusion. For the inner products over $L^2_x$ and $L^2_\xi$, we use

$$(g,h)=\int_\Omega f(x)g(x)dx,\quad \langle g,h\rangle=\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}g(\xi)h(\xi)d\xi,$$

respectively. For a function $w=w(\xi)$, define norms $|\cdot|_w$ and $\|\cdot\|_w$ by

$$\begin{aligned}|g|_w^2&=\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|\nabla_\xi g(\xi)|^2+w(\xi)|g(\xi)|^2d\xi,\quad g=g(\xi),\\ \|g\|_w^2&=\iint_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^d}|\nabla_\xi g(x,\xi)|^2+w(\xi)|g(x,\xi)|^2dxd\xi,\ g=g(x,\xi).\end{aligned}$$

For $q\geq 1$ and $\Omega=\mathbb{R}^d$, define

$$Z_q=L^2_\xi(L^q_x)=L^2(\mathbb{R}^d_\xi;L^q(\mathbb{R}^d_x)),\quad \|g\|_{Z_q}=\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|g(x,\xi)|^qdx\right)^{2/q}d\xi\right)^{1/2}.$$

Denote two functions $M$, $\mathcal{M}$ by

$$M(\xi)=(2\pi)^{-d/2}e^{-|\xi|^2/2},\quad \mathcal{M}(x,\xi)=e^{-V(x)}M(\xi),$$

where $M$ is a normalized Maxwellian. $C$ denotes some positive (generally large) constant and $\lambda$ denotes some positive (generally small) constant, where both $C$ and $\lambda$ may take different values in different places. $A\sim B$ means $\lambda_1A\leq B\leq\lambda_2A$ for two generic constants $\lambda_1>0$ and $\lambda_2>0$. For an integrable function $g:\Omega\to\mathbb{R}$, its Fourier transform $\hat{g}$ is defined by

$$\hat{g}(k)=\int_\Omega e^{-\mathrm{i}x\cdot k}g(x)dx,\quad x\cdot k=:\sum_{j=1}^dx_jk_j,$$

for $k\in\mathbb{R}^d$ if $\Omega=\mathbb{R}^d$ while $k\in\mathbb{Z}^d$ when $\Omega=\mathbb{T}^d$, where $\mathrm{i}=\sqrt{-1}\in\mathbb{C}$ is the imaginary unit. For two complex numbers $c_1,c_2\in\mathbb{C}$, $(c_1\mid c_2)=c_1\cdot\overline{c}_2$ denotes the dot product over the complex field, where $\overline{c}_2$ is the complex conjugate of $c_2$.

*1.4 Models.* To the end, we shall consider three types of degenerately dissipative operators for $\mathsf{L}$ as follows.

Model 1. $\mathsf{L}$ is the linear relaxation operator

$$\mathsf{L}u=-\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}_0\}u, \tag{1.4}$$

where $\mathsf{P}_0:L^2_\xi\to\mathrm{span}\{M^{1/2}\}$ is an orthogonal velocity projection operator given by

$$\mathsf{P}_0u=aM^{1/2},\quad a=a^u=:\langle M^{1/2},u\rangle. \tag{1.5}$$

Notice that

$$\mathrm{Ker}\,\mathsf{L}=\mathrm{span}\{M^{1/2}\}$$

and $-\mathsf{L}$ satisfies the identity

$$-\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u\mathsf{L}u d\xi = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}_0\}u|^2 d\xi.$$

Moreover, if

$$f = \mathcal{M} + \mathcal{M}^{1/2}u,$$

then $f$ equivalently satisfies the original relaxation model:

$$\partial_t f + \mathsf{T}f = M\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f d\xi - f.$$

Model 2. $\mathsf{L}$ is the linear self-adjoint Fokker-Planck operator

$$\mathsf{L}u = \frac{1}{M^{1/2}}\nabla_\xi \cdot \left(M\nabla_\xi\left(\frac{u}{M^{1/2}}\right)\right). \tag{1.6}$$

It is well-known that

$$\operatorname{Ker}\mathsf{L} = \operatorname{span}\{M^{1/2}\}$$

and $-\mathsf{L}$ satisfies the coercivity

$$-\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u\mathsf{L}u\, d\xi \geq |\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}_0\}u|_\nu^2, \tag{1.7}$$

where $\nu = \nu(\xi) = 1 + |\xi|^2$ and $\mathsf{P}_0$ is still defined by (1.5). Instead of (1.7), it is more convenient to use another equivalent coercivity inequality as used in [7]. In fact, define $\mathsf{P} : L^2_\xi \to \operatorname{span}\{M^{1/2}, \xi M^{1/2}\}$ as

$$\begin{cases} \mathsf{P}u = \mathsf{P}_0 u \oplus \mathsf{P}_1 u, \\ \mathsf{P}_0 u = aM^{1/2}, \quad a = a^u = \langle M^{1/2}, u\rangle, \\ \mathsf{P}_1 u = b\cdot\xi M^{1/2}, \quad b = b^u = \langle \xi M^{1/2}, u\rangle. \end{cases}$$

Then, one can compute

$$\mathsf{L}u = \mathsf{L}\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}\}u + \mathsf{L}\mathsf{P}u = \mathsf{L}\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}\}u + \mathsf{L}\mathsf{P}_1 u = \mathsf{L}\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}\}u - \mathsf{P}_1 u.$$

Thus, it holds that

$$-\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u\mathsf{L}u\, d\xi \geq \lambda|\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}\}u|_\nu^2 + |b|^2.$$

Similarly as before, if let

$$f = \mathcal{M} + \mathcal{M}^{1/2}u$$

then $f$ equivalently satisfies the linear Fokker-Planck equation:

$$\partial_t f + \mathsf{T}f = \nabla_\xi \cdot (\nabla_\xi f + \xi f).$$

Model 3. $\mathsf{L}$ is the linearized Boltzmann operator

$$\mathsf{L}u = \frac{1}{M^{1/2}}[\mathsf{Q}(M, M^{1/2}u) + \mathsf{Q}(M^{1/2}u, M)], \tag{1.8}$$

where $\mathsf{Q}$ is the so-called bilinear collision operator defined by

$$\mathsf{Q}(f,g) = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^d\times S^{d-1}} |(\xi-\xi_*)\cdot\omega|(f(\xi')g(\xi'_*) - f(\xi)g(\xi_*))d\omega d\xi_*,$$

$$\begin{cases} \xi' = \xi \ -[(\xi-\xi_*)\cdot\omega]\omega \\ \xi'_* = \xi_* \ +[(\xi-\xi_*)\cdot\omega]\omega \end{cases} \quad \omega\in S^{d-1},$$

for $f = f(\xi)$ and $g = g(\xi)$. Here, although the hard-sphere collision kernel in $\mathsf{Q}$ is supposed, all results of this paper still hold in the case of hard potentials and Maxwell molecules. For $\mathsf{L}$, it is also well-known [2] that $\dim\ker\mathsf{L} = d+2$,

$$\ker\mathsf{L} = \mathrm{span}\{M^{1/2}, \xi_1 M^{1/2}, \cdots, \xi_d M^{1/2}, |\xi|^2 M^{1/2}\}, \tag{1.9}$$

and $-\mathsf{L}$ satisfies the coercivity

$$-\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u\mathsf{L}u\, d\xi \geq \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \nu(\xi)|\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}\}u|^2 d\xi,$$

where we still used $\nu(\xi)$ to denote the collision frequency defined by

$$\nu(\xi) = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^d\times S^{d-1}} |(\xi-\xi_*)\cdot\omega| M d\omega d\xi_*, \tag{1.10}$$

and also used $\mathsf{P} : L^2_\xi \to \ker\mathsf{L}$ to denote the orthogonal velocity projection operator. For convenience, corresponding to the $d+2$ dimensional space (1.9), $\mathsf{P}$ is written as

$$\begin{cases} \mathsf{P}u = \{a + b\cdot\xi + c(|\xi|^2 - d)\}M^{1/2}, \\ a = a^u = \langle M^{1/2}, u\rangle, \\ b = b^u = \langle \xi M^{1/2}, u\rangle, \\ c = c^u = \dfrac{1}{2d}\langle (|\xi|^2 - d)M^{1/2}, u\rangle. \end{cases} \tag{1.11}$$

Therefore, $a, b, c$ mean mass, momentum and temperature components of macroscopic part $\mathsf{P}u$. If let $f = \mathcal{M} + \mathcal{M}^{1/2}u$ then $f$ satisfies the linear Boltzmann equation:

$$\partial_t f + \mathsf{T}f = \mathsf{Q}(M, f) + \mathsf{Q}(f, M). \tag{1.12}$$

*1.5 Main results.* Let us state them in two cases which will be proved in terms of different analytical tools.

**Theorem 1.1** (case of no force)**.** *Consider* (1.1) *where* $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^d$ *or* $\mathbb{T}^d$*,* $d \geq 1$*,* $V = 0$*, and* $\mathsf{L}$ *is one of the linear relaxation operator, linear Fokker-Planck operator and linearized Boltzmann operator as defined in Model 1, Model 2 and Model 3, respectively. Let* $e^{t\mathsf{B}}u_0$ *denote the corresponding solution for initial data* $u_0 = u_0(x, \xi)$*.*

*Case* ($\Omega = \mathbb{R}^d$)*. Let* $h = h(t, x, \xi)$ *satisfy*

$$h(t, x, \xi) \perp \ker\mathsf{L}, \quad \forall\, t \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^d. \tag{1.13}$$

*Let* $1 \leq q \leq 2$*. Then, for any* $\alpha$*,* $\alpha'$ *with* $\alpha' \leq \alpha$ *and* $m = |\alpha - \alpha'|$*, there is a constant* $C$ *such that*

$$\|\partial_x^\alpha e^{t\mathsf{B}}u_0\| \leq C(1+t)^{-\sigma_{q,m}}(\|\partial_x^{\alpha'}u_0\|_{Z_q} + \|\partial_x^\alpha u_0\|) \tag{1.14}$$

*and*

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\partial_x^\alpha \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)\mathsf{B}}h(s)ds\|^2 \\ &\leq C\int_0^t (1+t-s)^{-2\sigma_{q,m}}(\|w^{-1/2}\partial_x^{\alpha'}h(s)\|_{Z_q}^2 + \|w^{-1/2}\partial_x^\alpha h(s)\|^2)ds \end{aligned} \tag{1.15}$$

*for any* $t \geq 0$*. Here,* $w = w(\xi)$ *is defined by*

$$w = w(\xi) = \begin{cases} 1 & \textit{for Model 1,} \\ 1 + |\xi|^2 & \textit{for Model 2,} \\ 1 + |\xi| & \textit{for Model 3,} \end{cases}$$

*and the index* $\sigma_{a,m}$ *of the algebraic rate is defined by*

$$\sigma_{q,m} = \frac{d}{2}\left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{2}\right) + \frac{m}{2}.$$

*Case* ($\Omega = \mathbb{T}^d$)*. Suppose*

$$\iint_{\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \psi(\xi) u_0(x,\xi) dx d\xi = 0, \quad \forall\, \psi \in \ker \mathsf{L}. \tag{1.16}$$

*Then, there are constants* $C$ *and* $\lambda > 0$ *such that*

$$\|e^{t\mathsf{B}} u_0\| \leq C e^{-\lambda t} \|u_0\| \tag{1.17}$$

*for any* $t \geq 0$*.*

**Theorem 1.2** (case of confining force)**.** *Consider* (1.1) *where* $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^d$, $d \geq 1$, $V = V(x)$ *is a confining force with*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-V(x)} dx = 1,$$

$\mathsf{L}$ *is one of the linear relaxation operator, linear Fokker-Planck operator and linearized Boltzmann operator as defined in Model 1, Model 2 and Model 3, respectively. Let* $e^{t\mathsf{B}} u_0$ *denote the corresponding solution for initial data* $u_0 = u_0(x,\xi)$*. The following additional conditions on* $V$ *and* $u_0$ *are supposed to hold.*

*Case of Model 1:* $V = \frac{|x|^2}{2} - \frac{d}{2} \ln(2\pi)$*, and*

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{M}^{1/2} u_0 dx d\xi = 0.$$

*Case of Model 2:*

$$\frac{1}{4}|\nabla_x V|^2 - \frac{1}{2}\Delta_x V \to \infty \quad \text{as } |x| \to \infty,$$
$$|\nabla_x^2 V|^2 \leq \delta |\nabla_x V|^2 + C_\delta, \quad \forall\, \delta > 0,$$

*and*

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{M}^{1/2} u_0 dx d\xi = 0.$$

*Case of Model 3:* $V = \frac{|x|^2}{2} - \frac{d}{2} \ln(2\pi)$, $d \geq 3$*, and*

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} (1, x, \xi, x \cdot \xi, x \times \xi, |x|^2, |\xi|^2) \mathcal{M}^{1/2} u_0 dx d\xi = 0,$$

*where* $(x \times \xi)_{ij} = x_i \xi_j - x_j \xi_i$, $1 \leq i, j \leq d$*.*

*Then, under the above assumptions, there are constants* $C$ *and* $\lambda > 0$ *such that*

$$\|e^{t\mathsf{B}}\|_{\mathcal{H}^1} \leq C e^{-\lambda t} \|u_0\|_{\mathcal{H}^1} \tag{1.18}$$

*for any* $t \geq 0$*.*

*1.6 Strategy of proof.* The main idea is the macro-micro decomposition combined with Kawashima's argument on dissipation of the hyperbolic-parabolic system. In fact, suppose that $\ker \mathsf{L}$ is spanned by an orthogonal set

$$\mathcal{A} = \{\psi_0(\xi), \psi_1(\xi), \cdots, \psi_n(\xi)\}$$

and the corresponding orthogonal velocity projection is denoted by

$$\mathsf{P}u=\sum_{i=0}^{n}a_i(t,x)\psi_i(\xi).$$

The total energy dissipation rate corresponding to certain temporal energy functional of $u=\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}\}u+\mathsf{P}u$ can be recovered as follows:

Step 1. Starting from the equation (1.1), one can make energy estimates to obtain the dissipation of the microscopic part $\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}\}u$ on the basis of the coercivity property (1.2) of the linearized operator $\mathsf{L}$.

Step 2. One can derive a fluid-type one-order hyperbolic system of $a_i(t,x)$ $(0\leq i\leq n)$ coupled with $\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}\}u$ which are actually of the moment equations in terms of the above orthogonal set $\mathcal{A}$ and some high-order moment functions. See (2.5), (2.18), (4.3) and (4.17) for models under consideration. By applying Kawashima's argument on dissipation of the mixed hyperbolic-parabolic system [18], one can obtain the dissipation of the macroscopic part $\mathsf{P}u$ or equivalently $a_i(t,x)$ $(0\leq i\leq n)$ on the basis of the fluid-type system.

Step 3. Combining estimates in Step 1 and Step 2, one can obtain a properly defined temporal Lyapunov functional which is not only equivalent with the desired total energy functional but also captures the total energy dissipation rate.

Analytical tools are the Fourier transform for the case when there is no forcing and the direct energy estimates otherwise. When there is a potential force, we also need the Poincaré inequality and Korn-type inequality which will be provided at the last section.

## 2 Relaxation model

In this section we prove Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 for Model 1.

*2.1 Case when $\Omega=\mathbb{R}^d$ and $V=0$.* To prove (1.14) and (1.15), we consider the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases}\partial_t u+\xi\cdot\nabla_x u=\mathsf{L}u+h, & t>0,x\in\mathbb{R}^d,\xi\in\mathbb{R}^d,\\ u(0,x,\xi)=u_0(x,\xi), & x\in\mathbb{R}^d,\xi\in\mathbb{R}^d,\end{cases}\tag{2.1}$$

where $\mathsf{L}$ is the relaxation operator given in (1.4), and as in (1.13), $h=h(t,x,\xi)$ satisfies

$$h(t,x,\xi)\perp\ker\mathsf{L},\quad\forall\,t\geq 0,x\in\mathbb{R}^d.\tag{2.2}$$

Notice that the solution to (2.1) can be written as

$$u(t)=e^{t\mathsf{B}}u_0+\int_0^t e^{-(t-s)\mathsf{B}}h(s)ds,\tag{2.3}$$

where $\mathsf{B}=-\xi\cdot\nabla_x+\mathsf{L}$.

We shall use the method of Fourier transform to deal with the time-decay of the solution $u$ given by (2.3) in a unifying manner so that (1.14) and (1.15) follow from the case when $h=0$ and $u_0=0$, respectively. In fact, the Fourier transform of $(2.1)_1$ shows

$$\partial_t\hat{u}+\mathrm{i}\xi\cdot k\hat{u}=\mathsf{L}\hat{u}+\hat{h},$$

which after multiplying $\bar{\hat{u}}$ and taking velocity integration and the real part, deduces

$$\frac{1}{2}\partial_t\|\hat{u}\|_{L^2_\xi}^2+\|\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}_0\}\hat{u}\|_{L^2_\xi}^2=\mathrm{Re}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}(\hat{h}\mid\hat{u})d\xi.$$

Due to (2.2),

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}(\hat{h}\mid\hat{u})d\xi=\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}(\hat{h}\mid\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}_0\}\hat{u})d\xi\leq\frac{1}{2}\|\hat{h}\|_{L^2_\xi}^2+\frac{1}{2}\|\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}_0\}\hat{u}\|_{L^2_\xi}^2.$$

Then, it follows that

$$\partial_t\|\hat{u}\|_{L^2_\xi}^2+\|\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}_0\}\hat{u}\|_{L^2_\xi}^2\leq\|\hat{h}\|_{L^2_\xi}^2,\tag{2.4}$$

which is the first estimate on the basis of the dissipative property of $\mathsf{L}$. Next, we estimate $\mathsf{P}_0u=aM^{1/2}$ with $a=a^u=\langle M^{1/2},u\rangle$. Recall $b=b^u=\langle\xi M^{1/2},u\rangle$. Then, from $(2.1)_1$, $a$ and $b$ satisfy the fluid-type system

$$\begin{cases}\partial_t a+\nabla_x\cdot b=0,\\ \partial_t b+\nabla_x a+\nabla_x\cdot\Gamma(\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}_0\}u)=-b+\langle\xi M^{1/2},h\rangle,\end{cases}\tag{2.5}$$

where $\Gamma=(\Gamma_{ij})_{d\times d}$ is the moment function defined by

$$\Gamma_{ij}(g)=\langle(\xi_i\xi_j-1)M^{1/2},g\rangle,\quad 1\leq i,j\leq d.\tag{2.6}$$

Notice by the definition of $\mathsf{P}_0$ that

$$\Gamma(\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}_0\}u)=\langle\xi\otimes\xi M^{1/2},\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}_0\}u\rangle.$$

Here, we used (2.6) as the definition of $\Gamma$ only for the convenience of the later proof for Model 3. Taking further the Fourier transform of (2.5) gives

$$\begin{cases}\partial_t\hat{a}+\mathrm{i}k\cdot\hat{b}=0,\\ \partial_t\hat{b}+\mathrm{i}k\hat{a}+\mathrm{i}\Gamma(\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}_0\}\hat{u})\cdot k=-\hat{b}+\langle\xi M^{1/2},\hat{h}\rangle\end{cases}$$

where

$$(\Gamma(\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}_0\}\hat{u})\cdot k)_i=\sum_{j=1}^d\Gamma_{ij}(\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}_0\}\hat{u})k_j.$$

For the above fluid-type system, from computations

$$\begin{aligned}|k|^2|\hat{a}|^2&=(\mathrm{i}k\hat{a}\mid\mathrm{i}k\hat{a})=(\mathrm{i}k\hat{a}\mid-\partial_t\hat{b}-\mathrm{i}\Gamma(\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}_0\}\hat{u})\cdot k-\hat{b}+\langle\xi M^{1/2},\hat{h}\rangle)\\&=-\partial_t(\mathrm{i}\hat{a}\mid\hat{b})+(\mathrm{i}k\partial_t\hat{a}\mid\hat{b})\\&\quad+(\mathrm{i}k\hat{a}\mid-\mathrm{i}\Gamma(\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}_0\}\hat{u})\cdot k-\hat{b}+\langle\xi M^{1/2},\hat{h}\rangle),\end{aligned}$$

one has

$$\begin{gathered}\partial_t\mathrm{Re}(\mathrm{i}\hat{a}\mid\hat{b})+|k|^2|\hat{a}|^2\\ \leq|k\cdot\hat{b}|^2+\epsilon|k|^2|\hat{a}|^2+C_\epsilon(1+|k|^2)\|\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}_0\}\hat{u}\|_{L^2_\xi}^2+C_\epsilon\|\hat{h}\|_{L^2_\xi}^2,\end{gathered}$$

where $\epsilon>0$ is arbitrary. Then, taking $\epsilon>0$ small and dividing it by $1+|k|^2$ yield

$$\partial_t\mathrm{Re}\frac{(\mathrm{i}\hat{a}\mid\hat{b})}{1+|k|^2}+\frac{\lambda|k|^2}{1+|k|^2}|\hat{a}|^2\leq C(\|\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}_0\}\hat{u}\|_{L^2_\xi}^2+\|\hat{h}\|_{L^2_\xi}^2),\tag{2.7}$$

which is the second estimate based on the Kawashima's argument on the dissipation of the hyperbolic-parabolic system.

Now, for $t\geq 0$, $k\in\mathbb{R}^d$, define

$$E(\hat{u})=\|\hat{u}\|_{L^2_\xi}^2+\kappa\mathrm{Re}\frac{(\mathrm{i}\hat{a}\mid\hat{b})}{1+|k|^2}\tag{2.8}$$

with a small constant $\kappa > 0$ to be determined later. One can let $\kappa > 0$ be small such that $E(\hat{u}) \sim \|\hat{u}\|^2_{L^2_\xi}$. Taking $\kappa > 0$ further small, the linear combination of (2.4) and (2.7) gives

$$\partial_t E(\hat{u}) + \frac{\lambda |k|^2}{1+|k|^2} E(\hat{u}) \leq C \|\hat{h}\|^2_{L^2_\xi},$$

which with the help of Gronwall's inequality implies

$$E(\hat{u}(t,k)) \leq e^{-\frac{\lambda |k|^2}{1+|k|^2} t} E(\hat{u}_0(k)) + C \int_0^t e^{-\frac{\lambda |k|^2}{1+|k|^2}(t-s)} \|\hat{h}(s,k)\|^2_{L^2_\xi} ds.$$

Hence, (1.14) and (1.15) follows from the above estimate by using the standard procedure as in [18, 10, 26], and the details of the rest proof are omitted for simplicity. This completes the proof of (1.14) and (1.15) for Model 1 in Theorem 1.1.

*2.2 Case when $\Omega = \mathbb{T}^d$ and $V = 0$.* The goal in this case is to prove (1.17). It actually can be achieved by a little modification in the case of the whole space. In fact, let's consider the Cauchy problem (2.1) with the spatial domain $\mathbb{R}^d$ replaced by $\mathbb{T}^d$ and $h = 0$. Then, (2.4) and (2.7) with $h = 0$ still hold for $\Omega = \mathbb{T}^d$. $a$ and $b$ still satisfy the system (2.5). Notice that one has the mass conservation

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} a(t,x) dx = 0.$$

Since initially

$$\iint_{\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} M^{1/2} u_0(x,\xi) dx d\xi = \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} a_0(x) dx = 0$$

which corresponds to the assumption (1.16), then

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} a(t,x) dx \Big|_{t \geq 0} \equiv 0.$$

Thus, $\hat{a}(t,0) = 0$ for any $t \geq 0$, which yields

$$\frac{|k|^2}{1+|k|^2} |\hat{a}|^2 \geq \frac{1}{2} |\hat{a}|^2 \quad \text{for any } t \geq 0, k \in \mathbb{Z}^d.$$

Therefore, (2.7) is modified as

$$\partial_t \mathrm{Re} \frac{(\mathrm{i}\hat{a} \mid \hat{b})}{1+|k|^2} + \lambda |\hat{a}|^2 \leq C \|\{\mathsf{I} - \mathsf{P}_0\} \hat{u}\|^2_{L^2_\xi}.$$

By using the same definition of $E(\hat{u})$ as in (2.8), it holds

$$\partial_t E(\hat{u}) + \lambda E(\hat{u}) \leq 0,$$

which implies (1.17) from Gronwall's inequality and $k$-integration. This completes the proof of (1.17) for Model 1 in Theorem 1.1.

*2.3 Case when $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^d$ and $V$ is confining.* In particular, let $V = \frac{|x|^2}{2} - \frac{d}{2} \ln(2\pi)$. Take $u_0$ with

$$\iint_{\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{M}^{1/2} u_0 dx d\xi = 0. \tag{2.9}$$

Let $u(t) = e^{t\mathsf{B}} u_0$ be the solution to the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + \mathsf{T} u = \mathsf{L} u, & t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d, \\ u(0,x,\xi) = u_0(x,\xi), & x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d. \end{cases} \tag{2.10}$$

Next, we make energy estimates on $u$. For zero-order, it is straightforward to get

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|u\|^2+\|\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}_0\}u\|^2=0. \tag{2.11}$$

For first-order, instead of directly estimating $x$ and $\xi$ derivatives, we use $\mathsf{X}$ and $\mathsf{Y}$ differentiations. Take $1\leq i\leq d$. Applying $\mathsf{X}_i$ and $\mathsf{Y}_i$ to $(2.10)_1$, one has

$$\partial_t\mathsf{X}_iu+\mathsf{T}\mathsf{X}_iu-\mathsf{L}\mathsf{X}_iu=[\mathsf{T},\mathsf{X}_i]u-[\mathsf{L},\mathsf{X}_i]u, \tag{2.12}$$

$$\partial_t\mathsf{Y}_iu+\mathsf{T}\mathsf{Y}_iu-\mathsf{L}\mathsf{Y}_iu=[\mathsf{T},\mathsf{Y}_i]u-[\mathsf{L},\mathsf{Y}_i]u, \tag{2.13}$$

where $[\cdot,\cdot]$ denotes commutator of two operators. Since $\mathsf{L}$ is local in $x$ and $\mathsf{X}_i$ is only involved in spatial derivative and multiplier, then $[\mathsf{L},\mathsf{X}_i]=0$. Due to the fact that $\mathsf{P}_0\mathsf{Y}_i=\mathsf{Y}_i\mathsf{P}_0=0$,

$$[\mathsf{L},\mathsf{Y}_i]=[\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}_0,\mathsf{Y}_i]=-[\mathsf{P}_0,\mathsf{Y}_i]=0.$$

For commutators containing $\mathsf{T}$, the further computations show that

$$\begin{aligned}[\mathsf{T},\mathsf{X}_i]u&=[\xi\cdot\nabla_x,\mathsf{X}_i]u-[\nabla_xV\cdot\nabla_\xi,\mathsf{X}_i]u\\&=\frac{1}{2}\xi\cdot\nabla_x\partial_iVu+\nabla_x\partial_iV\cdot\nabla_\xi u=\nabla_x\partial_iV\cdot\mathsf{Y}u,\end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}[\mathsf{T},\mathsf{Y}_i]u&=[\xi\cdot\nabla_x,\mathsf{Y}_i]u-[\nabla_xV\cdot\nabla_\xi,\mathsf{Y}_i]u\\&=-\partial_iu-\frac{1}{2}\partial_iVu=-\mathsf{X}_iu.\end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

Thus, it follows from (2.12) and (2.13) that

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|\mathsf{X}u\|^2+\|\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}_0\}\mathsf{X}u\|^2=\sum_{ij=1}^{d}\iint_{\mathbb{R}^d\times\mathbb{R}^d}\partial_i\partial_jV\mathsf{X}_iu\mathsf{Y}_judxd\xi,$$

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|\mathsf{Y}u\|^2+\|\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}_0\}\mathsf{Y}u\|^2=-\sum_{ij=1}^{d}\iint_{\mathbb{R}^d\times\mathbb{R}^d}\delta_{ij}\mathsf{X}_iu\mathsf{Y}_judxd\xi,$$

where $\delta_{ij}$ is the Kronecker delta. Noticing $\partial_i\partial_jV=\delta_{ij}$ by the definition of $V$ in the considered case, one has

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}(\|\mathsf{X}u\|^2+\|\mathsf{Y}u\|^2)+\|\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}_0\}\mathsf{X}u\|^2+\|\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}_0\}\mathsf{Y}u\|^2=0. \tag{2.16}$$

Since $\mathsf{P}_0\mathsf{Y}=0$, the rest is to obtain the dissipation rate corresponding to $\mathsf{P}_0\mathsf{X}u=\mathsf{X}\mathsf{P}_0u$ and $\mathsf{P}_0u$, or equivalently $\mathsf{X}a$ and $a$. We shall again turn to the fluid-type system satisfied by $a$ and $b$.

Notice that when $V$ is nontrivial, similarly as before, from $(2.10)_1$, $a$ and $b$ satisfy

$$\begin{cases}\partial_t(ae^{-\frac{V}{2}})+\nabla_x\cdot(be^{-\frac{V}{2}})=0,\\ \partial_t(be^{-\frac{V}{2}})+\nabla_x(ae^{-\frac{V}{2}})+\nabla_xVae^{-\frac{V}{2}}+\nabla_x\cdot[\Gamma(\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}_0\}u)e^{-\frac{V}{2}}]=-be^{-\frac{V}{2}}.\end{cases} \tag{2.17}$$

Equivalently, the above system can be rewritten as

$$\begin{cases}\partial_ta-\mathsf{X}^*\cdot b=0,\\ \partial_tb+\mathsf{X}a-\mathsf{X}^*\cdot\Gamma(\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}_0\}u)+b=0,\end{cases} \tag{2.18}$$

where $\mathsf{X}^*$ is the adjoint operator of $\mathsf{X}$ given by

$$\mathsf{X}_i^*u=-e^{\frac{V(x)}{2}}\partial_{x_i}(e^{-\frac{V(x)}{2}}u)=(\frac{1}{2}\partial_{x_i}V-\partial_{x_i})u,\quad 1\leq i\leq d.$$

Noticing that (2.9) implies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-\frac{V(x)}{2}} a(0,x)dx = 0,$$

it follows from the mass conservation $(2.17)_1$ that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-\frac{V(x)}{2}} a(t,x)dx\Big|_{t\geq 0} = 0. \tag{2.19}$$

From $(2.18)_2$, one can compute

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathsf{X}a\|^2 &= (\mathsf{X}a, \mathsf{X}a) = (\mathsf{X}a, -\partial_t b + \mathsf{X}^* \cdot \Gamma(\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}_0\}u) - b)\\ &= -\frac{d}{dt}(\mathsf{X}a, b) + (\mathsf{X}\partial_t a, b) + (\mathsf{X}a, \mathsf{X}^* \cdot \Gamma(\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}_0\}u) - b)\end{aligned}$$

where it further holds from $(2.18)_1$ that

$$(\mathsf{X}\partial_t a, b) = (\partial_t a, \mathsf{X}^* \cdot b) = (\mathsf{X}^* \cdot b, \mathsf{X}^* \cdot b) = \|\mathsf{X}^* \cdot b\|^2.$$

Then, it follows that

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\mathsf{X}a, b) + \lambda\|\mathsf{X}a\|^2 \leq \|\mathsf{X}^* \cdot b\|^2 + C(\|\mathsf{X}^*\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}_0\}u\|^2 + \|b\|^2). \tag{2.20}$$

**Lemma 2.1.** *As long as there is a constant $C$ such that*

$$|\Delta_x V|^2 \leq C(|\nabla_x V|^2 + 1) \tag{2.21}$$

*for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, there is some constant $C$ such that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\mathsf{X}^* g|^2 dx \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (|\mathsf{X}g|^2 + |g|^2)dx \tag{2.22}$$

*for $g = g(x)$.*

*Proof.* From integration by parts,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\mathsf{X}^* g|^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\mathsf{X}g|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |g|^2 \Delta_x V dx. \tag{2.23}$$

Under the assumption (2.21),

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |g|^2 \Delta_x V dx &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |g|^2 (\epsilon|\Delta_x V|^2 + \frac{1}{4\epsilon})dx\\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |g|^2 (C\epsilon|\nabla_x V|^2 + C\epsilon + \frac{1}{4\epsilon})dx\\ &= 4C\epsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |g|^2 (\frac{1}{4}|\nabla_x V|^2 - \frac{1}{2}\Delta_x V)dx + 2C\epsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |g|^2 \Delta_x V dx\\ &\quad + (C\epsilon + \frac{1}{4\epsilon}) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |g|^2 dx\end{aligned}$$

for arbitrary $\epsilon > 0$. Taking $\epsilon > 0$ small, one has

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |g|^2 \Delta_x V dx \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\mathsf{X}g|^2 dx + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |g|^2 dx.$$

Then, (2.22) follows by plugging the above inequality into (2.23). □

So, by applying Lemma 2.1 to (2.20), one has

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\mathsf{X}a,b)+\lambda\|\mathsf{X}a\|^2\leq C(\|\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}_0\}\mathsf{X}u\|^2+\|\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}_0\}u\|^2).$$

Furthermore, due to (2.19), one has Poincaré inequality

$$\|\mathsf{X}a\|^2\geq\lambda\|a\|^2$$

from Proposition 5.1. Then, it follows that

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\mathsf{X}a,b)+\lambda(\|\mathsf{X}a\|^2+\|a\|^2)\leq C(\|\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}_0\}\mathsf{X}u\|^2+\|\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}_0\}u\|^2). \tag{2.24}$$

Now, let us define a temporal functional

$$\mathcal{E}(u(t))=\|u\|^2+\|\mathsf{X}u\|^2+\|\mathsf{Y}u\|^2+\kappa(\mathsf{X}a,b)$$

with a small constant $\kappa>0$ to be determined. Firstly, $\kappa>0$ is chosen small such that

$$\mathcal{E}(u(t))\sim\|u(t)\|^2_{\mathcal{H}^1}.$$

$\kappa>0$ is further small enough such that the linear combination of (2.11), (2.16) and (2.24) gives

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{E}(u(t))+\lambda\mathcal{E}(u(t))\leq 0.$$

By Gronwall's inequality, this proves (1.18) and hence completes the proof of Theorem 1.2 for Model 1.

## 3 Fokker-Planck equation

In this section we prove Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 for Model 2. The proof in the case of no forcing can be carried out in the completely same way as for Model 1. When a stationary potential forcing is present, the proof for Model 1 can be refined to yield the exponential time-decay rate in $\mathcal{H}^1$ even for a class of general potential functions essentially because the Fokker-Planck operator enjoys the velocity regularity. This actually has been studied in detail by Villani [27], and the hypoelliptic theory is founded by Hérau [14, 16]. Here, we shall give another proof which is based on the macro-micro decomposition and Kawashima's dissipation argument on the hyperbolic-parabolic system.

*3.1 Case when $\Omega=\mathbb{R}^d$ or $\mathbb{T}^d$ and $V=0$.* We consider the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases}\partial_t u+\xi\cdot\nabla_x u=\mathsf{L}u+h, & t>0, x\in\Omega,\xi\in\mathbb{R}^d,\\ u(0,x,\xi)=u_0(x,\xi), & x\in\Omega,\xi\in\mathbb{R}^d,\end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

where $\mathsf{L}$ is the self-adjoint Fokker-Planck operator given in (1.6), and $h=h(t,x,\xi)$ satisfies

$$h(t,x,\xi)\perp\ker\mathsf{L},\quad\forall\, t\geq 0, x\in\Omega.$$

As before, the solution to (3.1) can be written as

$$u(t)=e^{t\mathsf{B}}u_0+\int_0^t e^{-(t-s)\mathsf{B}}h(s)ds,$$

where $\mathsf{B}=-\xi\cdot\nabla_x+\mathsf{L}$. In this case, Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 for Model 2 can be proved in the same way as for Model 1. Thus, all details of the proof in this case are omitted for simplicity.

*3.2 Case when $\Omega=\mathbb{R}^d$ and $V$ is confining.* Suppose

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-V(x)}dx=1, \tag{3.2}$$

$$\frac{1}{4}|\nabla_x V|^2-\frac{1}{2}\Delta_x V\to\infty \text{ as } |x|\to\infty, \tag{3.3}$$

$$|\nabla_x^2 V|\leq \delta|\nabla_x V|^2+C_\delta,\quad \forall\,\delta>0. \tag{3.4}$$

Take $u_0$ with

$$\iint_{\mathbb{T}^d\times\mathbb{R}^d}\mathcal{M}^{1/2}u_0\,dxd\xi=0. \tag{3.5}$$

Let $u(t)=e^{t\mathsf{B}}u_0$ be the solutio to the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases}\partial_t u+\mathsf{T}u=\mathsf{L}u, & t>0, x\in\mathbb{R}^d,\xi\in\mathbb{R}^d,\\ u(0,x,\xi)=u_0(x,\xi), & x\in\mathbb{R}^d,\xi\in\mathbb{R}^d.\end{cases} \tag{3.6}$$

Next, we follow the same line of proof as for Model 1. It is straightforward to get from $(3.6)_1$ that

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|u\|^2+\lambda\|\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}_0\}u\|_\nu^2\leq 0, \tag{3.7}$$

where $\nu=\nu(\xi)=1+|\xi|^2$. Recall the definition (1.3) of the operator $\mathsf{Y}$. Observe that since $\mathsf{L}u=\Delta_\xi u+\frac{1}{4}(2d-|\xi|^2)u$, then $[\mathsf{L},\mathsf{Y}]=\mathsf{Y}$. Thus, similar to obtain (2.12)-(2.13) with identities (2.14)-(2.15), one has

$$\partial_t\mathsf{X}_i u+\mathsf{T}\mathsf{X}_i u-\mathsf{L}\mathsf{X}_i u=\nabla_x\partial_i V\cdot\mathsf{Y}u,$$
$$\partial_t\mathsf{Y}_i u+\mathsf{T}\mathsf{Y}_i u-\mathsf{L}\mathsf{Y}_i u=-\mathsf{X}_i u-\mathsf{Y}_i u,$$

which imply

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|\mathsf{X}u\|^2+\lambda\|\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}_0\}\mathsf{X}u\|_\nu^2\leq\sum_{ij=1}^d\iint_{\mathbb{R}^d\times\mathbb{R}^d}\partial_i\partial_j V\mathsf{X}_i u\mathsf{Y}_j u\,dxd\xi, \tag{3.8}$$

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|\mathsf{Y}u\|^2+\lambda\|\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}_0\}\mathsf{Y}u\|_\nu^2+\|\mathsf{Y}u\|^2\leq-\sum_{i=1}^d\iint_{\mathbb{R}^d\times\mathbb{R}^d}\mathsf{X}_i u\mathsf{Y}_j u\,dxd\xi. \tag{3.9}$$

The r.h.s. of (3.8) is bounded as

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{ij=1}^d\iint_{\mathbb{R}^d\times\mathbb{R}^d}\partial_i\partial_j V\mathsf{X}_i u\mathsf{Y}_j u\,dxd\xi\\ &=\sum_{ij=1}^d\iint_{\mathbb{R}^d\times\mathbb{R}^d}\partial_i\partial_j V\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}_0\}\mathsf{X}_i u\mathsf{Y}_j\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}_0\}u\,dxd\xi\\ &=\sum_{ij=1}^d\iint_{\mathbb{R}^d\times\mathbb{R}^d}\partial_i\partial_j V\mathsf{Y}_j^*\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}_0\}\mathsf{X}_i u\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}_0\}u\,dxd\xi\\ &\leq\epsilon\|\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}_0\}\mathsf{X}u\|_\nu^2+\frac{C}{\epsilon}\iint_{\mathbb{R}^d\times\mathbb{R}^d}|\nabla_x^2 V|^2|\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}_0\}u|^2dxd\xi\end{aligned}$$

for an arbitrary constant $\epsilon>0$ to be chosen later, where we used $\mathsf{Y}\mathsf{P}_0 u=0$ and $\mathsf{Y}^*$ is the adjoint operator of $\mathsf{Y}$ given by

$$\mathsf{Y}_j^* u=-e^{\frac{|\xi|^2}{4}}\partial_{\xi_j}(e^{-\frac{|\xi|^2}{4}}u)=(\frac{1}{2}\xi_j-\partial_{\xi_j})u.$$

Furthermore, due to the assumption (3.4) on $V$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\iint_{\mathbb{R}^d\times\mathbb{R}^d}|\nabla_x^2 V|^2|\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}_0\}u|^2dxd\xi\\
&\leq \delta\iint_{\mathbb{R}^d\times\mathbb{R}^d}|\nabla_x V|^2|\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}_0\}u|^2dxd\xi+C_\delta\iint_{\mathbb{R}^d\times\mathbb{R}^d}|\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}_0\}u|^2dxd\xi\\
&=4\delta\iint_{\mathbb{R}^d\times\mathbb{R}^d}(\frac{1}{4}|\nabla_x V|^2-\frac{1}{2}\Delta_x V)|\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}_0\}u|^2dxd\xi\\
&\quad+2\delta\iint_{\mathbb{R}^d\times\mathbb{R}^d}\Delta_x V|\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}_0\}u|^2dxd\xi+C_\delta\iint_{\mathbb{R}^d\times\mathbb{R}^d}|\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}_0\}u|^2dxd\xi,
\end{aligned}$$

which by smallness of $\delta>0$ implies

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^d\times\mathbb{R}^d}|\nabla_x^2 V|^2|\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}_0\}u|^2dxd\xi\leq C\delta\|\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}_0\}\mathsf{X}u\|^2+C_\delta\|\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}_0\}u\|^2.$$

So, from the above estimates, (3.8) is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|\mathsf{X}u\|^2+\lambda\|\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}_0\}\mathsf{X}u\|_\nu^2\\
&\qquad\leq\left(\epsilon+\frac{C\delta}{\epsilon}\right)\|\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}_0\}\mathsf{X}u\|_\nu^2+\frac{C_\delta}{\epsilon}\|\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}_0\}u\|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\epsilon>0$ and $\delta>0$ can be arbitrarily small, it follows that

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|\mathsf{X}u\|^2+\lambda\|\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}_0\}\mathsf{X}u\|_\nu^2\leq C\|\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}_0\}u\|^2. \tag{3.10}$$

Noticing

$$\langle\mathsf{X}_i u,\mathsf{Y}_i u\rangle=\langle\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}_0\}\mathsf{X}_i u,\mathsf{Y}_i u\rangle,$$

one has from (3.9) that

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|\mathsf{Y}u\|^2+\lambda\|\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}_0\}\mathsf{Y}u\|_\nu^2\leq C\|\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}_0\}\mathsf{X}u\|^2. \tag{3.11}$$

Finally, we turn to the estimate on $\mathsf{P}_0\mathsf{X}u$ and $\mathsf{P}_0 u$. Similar to obtain (2.18), $(3.6)_1$ also gives the same fluid-type system:

$$\begin{cases}\partial_t a-\mathsf{X}^*\cdot b=0,\\ \partial_t b+\mathsf{X}a-\mathsf{X}^*\cdot\Gamma(\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}_0\}u)+b=0,\end{cases}$$

with

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}e^{-\frac{V(x)}{2}}a(t,x)dx\Big|_{t\geq 0}=0.$$

again due to the mass conservation and initial condition (3.5). Applying the same argument as before and then using Poincaré inequality in Proposition 5.1 by assumptions (3.2)-(3.3), one has

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\mathsf{X}a,b)+\lambda(\|\mathsf{X}a\|^2+\|a\|^2)\leq C(\|\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}_0\}\mathsf{X}u\|^2+\|\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}_0\}u\|^2). \tag{3.12}$$

Now, let us define a temporal functional

$$\mathcal{E}(u(t))=\|u\|^2+\kappa_1\|\mathsf{X}u\|^2+\kappa_2\|\mathsf{Y}u\|^2+\kappa_3(\mathsf{X}a,b)$$

with constants $\kappa_i>0$ $(1\leq i\leq 3)$. One can choose

$$0<\kappa_3\ll\kappa_2\ll\kappa_1\ll 1$$

such that

$$\mathcal{E}(u(t)) \sim \|u(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}^1}^2$$

and further the linear combination of (3.7), (3.10), (3.11) and (3.12) gives

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{E}(u(t)) + \lambda\mathcal{E}(u(t)) \leq 0.$$

By Gronwall's inequality, this proves (1.18) and hence completes the proof of Theorem 1.2 for Model 2.

# 4 Boltzmann equation

In this section we prove Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 for Model 3. Although this can be done along the same line as for Model 1 and Model 2, it is a little more complicated for Model 3 since $\ker \mathsf{L} = d+2$ so that the linearized Boltzmann operator $\mathsf{L}$ is degenerate over a space with higher dimensions. The key idea is still based on the macro-micro decomposition and Kawashima's dissipation argument on the hyperbolic-parabolic system. The additional difficulty in the presence of confining forces lies in verifying the Korn-type inequality to obtain the dissipation of momentum component in the fluid part, which is left to the next section.

*4.1 Case when $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^d$ and $V = 0$.* We consider the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + \xi \cdot \nabla_x u = \mathsf{L}u + h, & t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d, \\ u(0,x,\xi) = u_0(x,\xi), & x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d, \end{cases} \tag{4.1}$$

where $\mathsf{L}$ is the linearized Boltzmann collision operator given in (1.8), and as in (1.13), $h = h(t,x,\xi)$ satisfies

$$h(t,x,\xi) \perp \ker \mathsf{L}, \quad \forall\, t \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

As before, the solution to (4.1) can be written as

$$u(t) = e^{t\mathsf{B}}u_0 + \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)\mathsf{B}}h(s)ds,$$

where $\mathsf{B} = -\xi \cdot \nabla_x + \mathsf{L}$. Both parts in the above $u(t)$ will be estimated in a unifying way by using the method of Fourier transform similarly before.

Firstly, from $(4.1)_1$, it is straightforward to obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}\partial_t \|\hat{u}\|_{L^2_\xi}^2 + \lambda \iint_{\mathbb{R}^d\times\mathbb{R}^d} \nu(\xi)|\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}\}\hat{u}|^2 dx d\xi \leq C\|\nu^{-1/2}\hat{h}\|_{L^2_\xi}^2, \tag{4.2}$$

where $\nu(\xi) \sim 1+|\xi|$ defined by (1.10) is the collision frequency for the case of hard sphere model [10], and the orthogonal velocity projection operator $\mathsf{P} : L^2_\xi \to \ker \mathsf{L}$ is described by (1.11). Next, we devote ourselves to the estimate on $\mathsf{P}u$ or equivalently $(a,b,c)$. In fact, by taking $\frac{1}{2}d(d+5)+2$ number of velocity moments

$$M^{1/2}, \xi_i M^{1/2}, (|\xi|^2 - d)M^{1/2}, (\xi_i\xi_j - 1)M^{1/2}, (|\xi|^2 - d - 2)\xi_i M^{1/2}$$

with $1 \leq i, j \leq d$ for the equation $(4.1)_1$, one has the fluid-type system

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t a + \nabla_x \cdot b = 0, \\ \partial_t b + \nabla_x(a+2c) + \nabla_x \cdot \Gamma(\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}\}u) = 0, \\ \partial_t c + \dfrac{1}{d}\nabla_x \cdot b + \dfrac{1}{2d}\nabla_x \cdot \Lambda(\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}\}u) = 0, \\ \partial_t[\Gamma_{ij}(\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}\}u) + 2c\delta_{ij}] + \partial_i b_j + \partial_j b_i = \Gamma_{ij}(r+h), \\ \partial_t \Lambda_i(\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}\}u) + \partial_i c = \Lambda_i(r+h), \end{cases} \tag{4.3}$$

where $a, b, c$ are defined in (1.11), the matrix-valued function $\Gamma$ is defined by (2.6), the moment function $\Lambda = (\Lambda_i)_{1\leq i\leq d}$ is defined by

$$\Lambda_i(g) = \langle (|\xi|^2 - d - 2)\xi_i M^{1/2}, g\rangle, \tag{4.4}$$

and $r$ is denoted by

$$r = -\xi \cdot \nabla_x \{\mathsf{I} - \mathsf{P}\}u + \mathsf{L}\{\mathsf{I} - \mathsf{P}\}u.$$

The detailed derivation of (4.3) was given in [6] and thus is omitted for simplicity.

**Lemma 4.1.** *There exists a functional $E_{\rm int}(\hat{u})$ given by*

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\rm int}(\hat{u}) = {} & \frac{1}{1+|k|^2}\sum_{i=1}^{d}(\mathrm{i}k_i\hat{c} \mid \Lambda_i(\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}\}\hat{u})) \\ & +\frac{\kappa_1}{1+|k|^2}\sum_{ij=1}^{d}(\mathrm{i}k_i\hat{b}_j + \mathrm{i}k_j\hat{b}_i \mid \Gamma_{ij}(\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}\}\hat{u}) + 2\hat{c}\delta_{ij}) \\ & +\frac{\kappa_2}{1+|k|^2}\sum_{i=1}^{d}(\mathrm{i}k_i\hat{a} \mid \hat{b}_i) \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

*for constants $0 < \kappa_2 \ll \kappa_1 \ll 1$ such that there are constants $\lambda > 0$, $C$ such that*

$$\partial_t \mathrm{Re}E_{\rm int}(\hat{u}) + \frac{\lambda|k|^2}{1+|k|^2}(|\hat{a}|^2 + |\hat{b}|^2 + |\hat{c}|^2) \leq C(\|\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}\}\hat{u}\|_{L^2_\xi}^2 + \|\nu^{-1/2}\hat{h}\|_{L^2_\xi}^2) \tag{4.6}$$

*holds for any $t \geq 0$ and $k \in \mathbb{R}^d$.*

*Proof.* Write (4.3) in terms of Fourier transform as

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t\hat{a} + \mathrm{i}k\cdot\hat{b} = 0, \\ \partial_t\hat{b} + \mathrm{i}(\hat{a}+2\hat{c}) + \mathrm{i}\Gamma(\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}\}\hat{u})\cdot k = 0, \\ \partial_t\hat{c} + \frac{1}{d}\mathrm{i}k\cdot\hat{b} + \frac{1}{2d}\mathrm{i}k\cdot\Lambda(\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}\}\hat{u}) = 0, \\ \partial_t[\Gamma_{ij}(\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}\}\hat{u}) + 2\hat{c}\delta_{ij}] + \mathrm{i}k_i\hat{b}_j + \mathrm{i}k_j\hat{b}_i = \Gamma_{ij}(\hat{r}+\hat{h}), \\ \partial_t\Lambda_i(\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}\}\hat{u}) + \mathrm{i}k_i\hat{c} = \Lambda_i(\hat{r}+\hat{h}), \end{cases} \tag{4.7}$$

for $1 \leq i, j \leq d$, where

$$\hat{r} = -\mathrm{i}\xi\cdot k\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}\}\hat{u} + \mathsf{L}\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}\}\hat{u}.$$

*Step 1.* Estimate $\hat{c}$: Starting from the highest-order moment equation $(4.7)_5$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} |k|^2|\hat{c}|^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^{d}(\mathrm{i}k_i\hat{c} \mid \mathrm{i}k_i\hat{c}) = \sum_{i=1}^{d}(\mathrm{i}k_i\hat{c} \mid -\partial_t\Lambda_i(\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}\}\hat{u}) + \Lambda_i(\hat{r}+\hat{h})) \\ &= -\partial_t\sum_{i=1}^{d}(\mathrm{i}k_i\hat{c} \mid \Lambda_i(\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}\}\hat{u})) + \sum_{i=1}^{d}(\mathrm{i}k_i\partial_t\hat{c} \mid \Lambda_i(\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}\}\hat{u})) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{d}(\mathrm{i}k_i\hat{c} \mid \Lambda_i(\hat{r}+\hat{h})). \end{aligned}$$

Using $(4.7)_3$ to replace $\partial_t \hat{c}$ gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{i=1}^d (\mathrm{i}k_i \partial_t \hat{c} \mid \Lambda_i(\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}\}\hat{u}))\\
&= \sum_{i=1}^d (\frac{1}{d}\mathrm{i}k\cdot\hat{b} + \frac{1}{2d}\mathrm{i}k\cdot\Lambda(\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}\}\hat{u}) \mid \mathrm{i}k_i\Lambda_i(\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}\}\hat{u}))\\
&\leq \epsilon_1|k\cdot\hat{b}|^2 + \frac{C}{\epsilon_1}\|\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}\}\hat{u}\|_{L^2_\xi}^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where $0<\epsilon_1\leq 1$ is arbitrary to be chosen later. Moreover, it holds that

$$\sum_{i=1}^d (\mathrm{i}k_i\hat{c} \mid \Lambda_i(\hat{r}+\hat{h})) \leq \frac{1}{2}|k|^2|\hat{c}|^2 + C(1+|k|^2)\|\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}\}\hat{u}\|_{L^2_\xi}^2 + C\|\nu^{-1/2}\hat{h}\|_{L^2_\xi}^2.$$

Then, it follows

$$\begin{aligned}
&\partial_t \mathrm{Re}\sum_{i=1}^d (\mathrm{i}k_i\hat{c} \mid \Lambda_i(\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}\}\hat{u})) + \lambda|k|^2|\hat{c}|^2\\
&\qquad\leq \epsilon_1|k\cdot\hat{b}|^2 + \frac{C}{\epsilon_1}(1+|k|^2)\|\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}\}\hat{u}\|_{L^2_\xi}^2 + C\|\nu^{-1/2}\hat{h}\|_{L^2_\xi}^2. \qquad (4.8)
\end{aligned}$$

*Step 2.* Estimate $\hat{b}$: Observe the identity

$$\sum_{ij=1}^d \|\mathrm{i}k_i\hat{b}_j + \mathrm{i}k_j\hat{b}_i\|^2 = 2|k|^2|\hat{b}|^2 + 2\|k\cdot\hat{b}\|^2.$$

On the other hand, compute from $(4.7)_4$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{ij=1}^d \|\mathrm{i}k_i\hat{b}_j + \mathrm{i}k_j\hat{b}_i\|^2\\
&= \sum_{ij=1}^d (\mathrm{i}k_i\hat{b}_j + \mathrm{i}k_j\hat{b}_i \mid -\partial_t[\Gamma_{ij}(\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}\}\hat{u}) + 2\hat{c}\delta_{ij}] + \Gamma_{ij}(\hat{r}+\hat{h}))\\
&= -\partial_t \sum_{ij=1}^d (\mathrm{i}k_i\hat{b}_j + \mathrm{i}k_j\hat{b}_i \mid \Gamma_{ij}(\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}\}\hat{u}) + 2\hat{c}\delta_{ij})\\
&\quad + \sum_{ij=1}^d (\mathrm{i}k_i\partial_t\hat{b}_j + \mathrm{i}k_j\partial_t\hat{b}_i \mid \Gamma_{ij}(\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}\}\hat{u}) + 2\hat{c}\delta_{ij})\\
&\quad + \sum_{ij=1}^d (\mathrm{i}k_i\hat{b}_j + \mathrm{i}k_j\hat{b}_i \mid \Gamma_{ij}(\hat{r}+\hat{h})).
\end{aligned}$$

Using $(4.7)_2$ to replace $\partial_t\hat{b}$ gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{ij=1}^d (\mathrm{i}k_i\partial_t\hat{b}_j + \mathrm{i}k_j\partial_t\hat{b}_i \mid \Gamma_{ij}(\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}\}\hat{u}) + 2\hat{c}\delta_{ij})\\
&= 2\sum_{ij=1}^d (\partial_t\hat{b}_i \mid \mathrm{i}k_j\Gamma_{ij}(\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}\}\hat{u}) + 2\mathrm{i}k_j\hat{c}\delta_{ij})\\
&= 2\sum_{ij=1}^d (\mathrm{i}k_i(\hat{a}+2\hat{c}) + \mathrm{i}\sum_{\ell=1}^d k_\ell\Gamma_{\ell i}(\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}\}\hat{u}) \mid \mathrm{i}k_j\Gamma_{ij}(\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}\}\hat{u}) + 2\mathrm{i}k_j\hat{c}\delta_{ij})\\
&\leq \epsilon_2|k|^2|\hat{a}|^2 + \frac{C}{\epsilon_2}|k|^2|\hat{c}|^2 + \frac{C}{\epsilon_2}|k|^2\|\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}\}\hat{u}\|_{L^2_\xi}^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where we used the symmetry of $\Gamma_{ij}$ and $0<\epsilon_2\leq 1$ is arbitrary to be chosen later. Moreover, it is straightforward to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{ij=1}^{d}(\mathrm{i}k_i\hat{b}_j+\mathrm{i}k_j\hat{b}_i\mid\Gamma_{ij}(\hat{r}+\hat{h}))\\ \leq{}&\frac{1}{2}\sum_{ij=1}^{d}\|\mathrm{i}k_i\hat{b}_j+\mathrm{i}k_j\hat{b}_i\|^2+C(1+|k|^2)\|\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}\}\hat{u}\|_{L^2_\xi}^2+C\|\nu^{-1/2}\hat{h}\|_{L^2_\xi}^2.\end{aligned}$$

So, collecting the above estimates, one has

$$\begin{aligned}&\partial_t\mathrm{Re}\sum_{ij=1}^{d}(\mathrm{i}k_i\hat{b}_j+\mathrm{i}k_j\hat{b}_i\mid\Gamma_{ij}(\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}\}\hat{u})+2\hat{c}\delta_{ij})+|k|^2|\hat{b}|^2\\ &\quad\leq\epsilon_2|k|^2|\hat{a}|^2+\frac{C}{\epsilon_2}|k|^2|\hat{c}|^2+\frac{C}{\epsilon_2}|k|^2\|\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}\}\hat{u}\|_{L^2_\xi}^2+C\|\nu^{-1/2}\hat{h}\|_{L^2_\xi}^2.\end{aligned}\tag{4.9}$$

*Step 3.* Estimate $\hat{a}$: Similarly, $(4.7)_2$ implies

$$\begin{aligned}|k|^2|\hat{a}|^2&=\sum_{i=1}^{d}(\mathrm{i}k_i\hat{a}\mid\mathrm{i}k_i\hat{a})=\sum_{i=1}^{d}(\mathrm{i}k_i\hat{a}\mid-\partial_t\hat{b}_i-2\mathrm{i}k_i\hat{c}-\sum_{j=1}^{d}\mathrm{i}k_j\Gamma_{ij}(\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}\}\hat{u}))\\ &=-\partial_t\sum_{i=1}^{d}(\mathrm{i}k_i\hat{a}\mid\hat{b}_i)+\sum_{i=1}^{d}(\mathrm{i}k_i\partial_t\hat{a}\mid\hat{b}_i)\\ &\quad+\sum_{i=1}^{d}(\mathrm{i}k_i\hat{a}\mid-2\mathrm{i}k_i\hat{c}-\sum_{j=1}^{d}\mathrm{i}k_j\Gamma_{ij}(\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}\}\hat{u})\end{aligned}$$

which after using $(4.7)_1$ to replace $\partial_t\hat{a}$ on the r.h.s. gives

$$\partial_t\mathrm{Re}\sum_{i=1}^{d}(\mathrm{i}k_i\hat{a}\mid\hat{b}_i)+\lambda|k|^2|\hat{a}|^2\leq|k\cdot\hat{b}|^2+C|k|^2|\hat{c}|^2+C|k|^2\|\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}\}\hat{u}\|_{L^2_\xi}^2.\tag{4.10}$$

Finally, define $E_{\mathrm{int}}(\hat{u})$ by (4.5). Then, for properly chosen constants $0<\kappa_2\ll\kappa_1\ll 1$ and small constants $\epsilon_1>0,\epsilon_2>0$, (4.6) follows from the linear combination of the above three estimates (4.8), (4.9) and (4.10) and further dividing it by $1+|k|^2$. This completes the proof of Lemma 4.1. □

**Remark 4.1.** *As in* (2.7), (2.24) *and* (3.12), *Lemma 4.1 shows that the dissipation of degenerate part which is the kernel of the linearized Boltzmann equation with dimensions equal to* $d+2$ *can be recovered from the fluid-type moment system* (4.3). *This property was firstly observed by Guo [13, 12], and it was later improved in [5, 6, 8] and [11, 17] for different purposes. Precisely, [12] is mainly based on an elliptic-type equation of b derived from* $(4.3)_3$- $(4.3)_4$. *The aim of [5] is to remove time derivatives by constructing some functional which is similar to* (4.5) *but takes more complicated form. [6] introduced moment functions* $\Gamma$ *and* $\Lambda$ *to refine the form of* (4.5). *[8] exactly used the same method to deal with the Vlasov-Poisson-Boltzmann system. Here, it should be emphasized that due to new estimates on the dissipation of b, the current method of proof is more general in the sense that it can be directly modified to apply to the case with a potential forcing, see Lemma 4.3. Notice that three terms in* (4.5) *are inner products of i-th order moment and* $(i+1)$*-th order moment for* $i=0,1,2$, *respectively, and also it is in the same spirit of Kawashima's construction of compensation functions [19, 10].*

Now, for $t\geq 0$, $k\in\mathbb{R}^d$, define

$$E(\hat{u})=\|\hat{u}\|_{L^2_\xi}^2+\kappa_3\mathrm{Re}E_{\mathrm{int}}(\hat{u})$$

where $E_{\rm int}(\hat{u})$ is defined by (4.5) and $\kappa_3 > 0$ is to be chosen. Similarly before, one can let $\kappa_3 > 0$ be small such that $E(\hat{u}) \sim \|\hat{u}\|^2_{L^2_\xi}$ and the linear combination of (4.2) and (4.6) gives

$$\partial_t E(\hat{u}) + \frac{\lambda |k|^2}{1+|k|^2} E(\hat{u}) \leq C\|\nu^{-1/2}\hat{h}\|^2_{L^2_\xi}.$$

Hence, (1.14) and (1.15) follows from the above estimate in the same way as before. This completes the proof of (1.14) and (1.15) for Model 3 in Theorem 1.1.

*4.2 Case when $\Omega = \mathbb{T}^d$ and $V = 0$.* In this case, (1.17) follows from the same argument as for Model 1 and Model 2. In fact, it suffices to check

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} (a,b,c)dx \Big|_{t\geq 0} \equiv 0$$

which results from the fact that it initially holds at $t = 0$ by (1.16) and the conservation laws

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} (a,b,c)dx = 0$$

holds by $(4.3)_1$-$(4.3)_3$. This completes the proof of (1.17) in Theorem 1.1 for Model 3.

*4.3 Case when $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^d$ and $V$ is confining.* In particular, let $V = \frac{|x|^2}{2} - \frac{d}{2}\ln(2\pi)$ and $d \geq 3$. Take $u_0$ with

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^d\times\mathbb{R}^d} (1, x, \xi, x\cdot\xi, x\times\xi, |x|^2, |\xi|^2)\mathcal{M}^{1/2}u_0 dx d\xi = 0, \tag{4.11}$$

where $(x\times\xi)_{ij} = x_i\xi_j - x_j\xi_i$ for $1 \leq i,j \leq d$. Let $u(t) = e^{t\mathsf{B}}u_0$ be the solution to the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + \mathsf{T}u = \mathsf{L}u, & t > 0, x\in\mathbb{R}^d, \xi\in\mathbb{R}^d, \\ u(0,x,\xi) = u_0(x,\xi), & x\in\mathbb{R}^d, \xi\in\mathbb{R}^d. \end{cases} \tag{4.12}$$

Firstly, we verify that the property (4.11) can be preserved at all time $t \geq 0$ for the above Cauchy problem (4.12).

**Lemma 4.2.** *Under the assumption* (4.11)*,*

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^d\times\mathbb{R}^d} (1, x, \xi, x\cdot\xi, x\times\xi, |x|^2, |\xi|^2)\mathcal{M}^{1/2}u(t,x,\xi) dx d\xi = 0 \tag{4.13}$$

*holds for any $t \geq 0$. Particularly, for any $t \geq 0$,*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-\frac{V}{2}} a(t,x)dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-\frac{V}{2}} b_i(t,x)dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-\frac{V}{2}} c(t,x)dx = 0,\ 1\leq i\leq d,$$
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-\frac{V}{2}} x\times b(t,x)dx = 0.$$

*Proof.* Let $(\!(\cdot,\cdot)\!)$ denote the usual inner product in $L^2_{x,\xi}$. For simplicity, define also the weighted inner product $(\!(\cdot,\cdot)\!)_{\mathcal{M}}$ by

$$(\!(u,v)\!)_{\mathcal{M}} = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^d\times\mathbb{R}^d} uv\mathcal{M}^{1/2} dx d\xi.$$

Notice that $f = \mathcal{M} + \mathcal{M}^{1/2}u$ satisfies (1.12). From integration by parts, it is easy to obtain the following ODE system

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}(\!(1,f)\!) = 0, \ \ \frac{d}{dt}(\!(x\times\xi, f)\!) = 0, \\ \frac{d}{dt}(\!(x,f)\!) = (\!(\xi,f)\!), \ \ \frac{d}{dt}(\!(\xi,f)\!) = -(\!(x,f)\!), \\ \frac{d}{dt}(\!(x\cdot\xi, f)\!) = (\!(|\xi|^2 - |x|^2, f)\!), \\ \frac{d}{dt}(\!(|x|^2,f)\!) = 2(\!(x\cdot\xi,f)\!), \ \ \frac{d}{dt}(\!(|\xi|^2,f)\!) = -2(\!(x\cdot\xi,f)\!). \end{cases}$$

Equivalently, in terms of $u$, it holds that

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{d}{dt}(\!(1,u)\!)_{\mathcal{M}}=0, \quad \dfrac{d}{dt}(\!(x\times\xi,u)\!)_{\mathcal{M}}=0,\\ \dfrac{d}{dt}(\!(x,u)\!)_{\mathcal{M}}=(\!(\xi,u)\!)_{\mathcal{M}}, \quad \dfrac{d}{dt}(\!(\xi,u)\!)_{\mathcal{M}}=-(\!(x,u)\!)_{\mathcal{M}},\\ \dfrac{d}{dt}(\!(x\cdot\xi,u)\!)_{\mathcal{M}}=(\!(|\xi|^2-|x|^2,u)\!)_{\mathcal{M}},\\ \dfrac{d}{dt}(\!(|x|^2,u)\!)_{\mathcal{M}}=2(\!(x\cdot\xi,u)\!)_{\mathcal{M}}, \quad \dfrac{d}{dt}(\!(|\xi|^2,u)\!)_{\mathcal{M}}=-2(\!(x\cdot\xi,u)\!)_{\mathcal{M}}, \end{cases} \tag{4.14}$$

where we used the fact

$$(\!(|\xi|^2-|x|^2,\mathcal{M})\!)=0, \quad \mathcal{M}=(2\pi)^{-d}e^{-\frac{|x|^2+|\xi|^2}{2}}.$$

Define temporal functions

$$y_i(t)=(\!(\xi_i,u)\!)_{\mathcal{M}} \ \ (1\le i\le d), \quad z(t)=(\!(x\cdot\xi,u)\!)_{\mathcal{M}}.$$

Then, from (4.14) and (4.13), $y_i(t)$ and $z(t)$ satisfy the initial value problems of the linear second-order ODE as follows

$$\begin{cases} y_i''(t)+y_i(t)=0, \quad t>0\\ y_i(0)=(\!(\xi,u_0)\!)_{\mathcal{M}}=0,\\ y_i'(0)=-(\!(x_i,u_0)\!)_{\mathcal{M}}=0, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} z''(t)+4z(t)=0, \quad t>0\\ z(0)=(\!(x\cdot\xi,u_0)\!)_{\mathcal{M}}=0,\\ z'(0)=-(\!(|\xi|^2-|x|^2,u_0)\!)_{\mathcal{M}}=0. \end{cases}$$

Hence, both solutions are trivial, i.e.

$$y_i(t)=0 \ (1\le i\le d) \ \text{ and } \ z(t)=0$$

for any $t\ge 0$. Putting this back to the system (4.14) implies that all inner products in (4.14) vanish due to (4.13). This completes the proof of Lemma 4.2. □

Next, we proceed along the same line of proof as for Model 1. The zero-order energy integration of $(4.12)_1$ gives

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|u\|^2+\lambda\iint_{\mathbb{R}^d\times\mathbb{R}^d}\nu(\xi)|\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}\}u|^2dxd\xi\le 0. \tag{4.15}$$

Similar to obtain (2.16), for $\mathsf{X}$ and $\mathsf{Y}$ differentiations, one has

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}(\|\mathsf{X}u\|^2+\|\mathsf{Y}u\|^2)+\lambda\iint_{\mathbb{R}^d\times\mathbb{R}^d}\nu(\xi)|\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}\}\mathsf{X}u|^2dxd\xi\\ &\quad+\lambda\iint_{\mathbb{R}^d\times\mathbb{R}^d}\nu(\xi)|\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}\}\mathsf{Y}u|^2dxd\xi\le-\sum_{i=1}^d\iint_{\mathbb{R}^d\times\mathbb{R}^d}[\mathsf{L},\mathsf{Y}_i]u\mathsf{Y}_iudxd\xi. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to check $\mathsf{Y}_i\mathsf{P}u\in\ker\mathsf{L}$ which implies

$$[\mathsf{L},\mathsf{Y}_i]u=\mathsf{L}\mathsf{Y}_iu-\mathsf{Y}_i\mathsf{L}u=\mathsf{L}\mathsf{Y}_i\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}\}u-\mathsf{Y}_i\mathsf{L}\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}\}u=[\mathsf{L},\mathsf{Y}_i]\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}\}u.$$

Since $[\mathsf{L},\mathsf{Y}_i]$ is a bounded operator from $L^2_\xi$ to $L^2_\xi$ by [9],

$$-\sum_{i=1}^d \iint_{\mathbb{R}^d\times\mathbb{R}^d}[\mathsf{L},\mathsf{Y}_i]u\mathsf{Y}_iudxd\xi\leq \epsilon\|(a,b,c)\|^2+\frac{C}{\epsilon}\|\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}\}u\|^2$$

for an arbitrary constant $0<\epsilon\leq 1$ to be chosen later. Then, it follows

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}(\|\mathsf{X}u\|^2+\|\mathsf{Y}u\|^2)+\lambda\iint_{\mathbb{R}^d\times\mathbb{R}^d}\nu(\xi)|\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}\}\mathsf{X}u|^2dxd\xi\\&+\lambda\iint_{\mathbb{R}^d\times\mathbb{R}^d}\nu(\xi)|\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}\}\mathsf{Y}u|^2dxd\xi\leq\epsilon\|(a,b,c)\|^2+\frac{C}{\epsilon}\|\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}\}u\|^2.\end{aligned}\tag{4.16}$$

The rest is to deal with the dissipation rate corresponding to $\mathsf{PX}u$ and $\mathsf{P}u$ or equivalently $\mathsf{X}(a,b,c)$ and $(a,b,c)$. Poincaré and Korn-type inequalities given in the next section play a key role in this step. In fact, similar to get (4.3), from the equation $(4.12)_1$ in the presence of potential forcing, one can obtain the following fluid-type system:

$$\begin{cases}\partial_t a-\mathsf{X}^*\cdot b=0,\\ \partial_t b+\mathsf{X}(a+2c)-\mathsf{X}^*\cdot\Gamma(\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}\}u)=0,\\ \partial_t c+\frac{1}{d}\mathsf{X}\cdot b-\frac{1}{2d}\mathsf{X}^*\cdot\Lambda(\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}\}u)=0,\\ \partial_t[\Gamma_{ij}(\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}\}u)+2c\delta_{ij}]+\mathsf{X}_ib_j+\mathsf{X}_jb_i=\Gamma_{ij}(r),\\ \partial_t\Lambda_i(\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}\}u)+\mathsf{X}_ic=\Lambda_i(r),\end{cases}\tag{4.17}$$

where moment functions $\Gamma$ and $\Lambda$ are defined as in (2.6) and (4.4) respectively, and for simplicity, we still used $r$ to denote

$$r=-\xi\cdot\nabla_x\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}\}u+\nabla_xV\cdot\nabla_\xi\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}\}u+\mathsf{L}\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}\}u.$$

**Lemma 4.3.** *There exists a temporal functional* $\mathcal{E}_{\rm int}(u(t))$ *given by*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E}_{\rm int}(u(t))=&\sum_{i=1}^d(\mathsf{X}_ic,\Lambda_i(\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}\}u))\\&+\kappa_1\sum_{ij=1}^d(\mathsf{X}_ib_j+\mathsf{X}_jb_i,\Gamma_{ij}(\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}\}u)+2c\delta_{ij})\\&+\kappa_2\sum_{i=1}^d(\mathsf{X}_ia,b_i)\end{aligned}\tag{4.18}$$

*for constants* $0<\kappa_2\ll\kappa_1\ll 1$ *such that there are constants* $\lambda>0$, $C$ *such that*

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{E}_{\rm int}(u(t))+\lambda\mathcal{D}(a,b,c)\leq C(\|\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}\}\mathsf{X}u\|^2+\|\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}\}u\|^2)\tag{4.19}$$

*holds for any* $t\geq 0$, *where the dissipation rate* $\mathcal{D}(a,b,c)$ *denotes*

$$\mathcal{D}(a,b,c)=(\|\mathsf{X}a\|^2+\|a\|^2)+\sum_{i=1}^d(\|\mathsf{X}b_i\|^2+\|b_i\|^2)+(\|\mathsf{X}c\|^2+\|c\|^2).$$

*Proof.* This follows from the same procedure as for the proof of Lemma 4.1 which is on the basis of Fourier transform when there is no external forcing. For completeness, we shall provide all details of proof. Firstly, it is straightforward to check

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Gamma(r)\|^2+\|\Lambda(r)\|^2&\leq C\iint_{\mathbb{R}^d\times\mathbb{R}^d}|\nabla_x\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}\}u|^2+|\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}\}u|^2(1+|\nabla_xV|^2)dxd\xi\\&\leq C(\|\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}\}\mathsf{X}u\|^2+\|\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}\}u\|^2).\end{aligned}\tag{4.20}$$

*Step 1.* Estimate on $c$: $(4.17)_5$ gives

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathsf{X}c\|^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^{d}(\mathsf{X}_i c, -\partial_t \Lambda_i(\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}\}u) + \Lambda_i(r))\\ &= -\frac{d}{dt}\sum_{i=1}^{d}(\mathsf{X}_i c, \Lambda_i(\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}\}u)) + \sum_{i=1}^{d}(\mathsf{X}_i \partial_t c, \Lambda_i(\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}\}u))\\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{d}(\mathsf{X}_i c, \Lambda_i(r)). \end{aligned} \tag{4.21}$$

Using $(4.17)_3$ to replace $\partial_t c$, one has

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{i=1}^{d}(\mathsf{X}_i \partial_t c, \Lambda_i(\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}\}u))\\ &= (-\frac{1}{d}\mathsf{X}\cdot b + \frac{1}{2d}\mathsf{X}^*\cdot\Lambda(\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}\}u), \mathsf{X}^*\cdot\Lambda(\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}\}u))\\ &\leq \epsilon_1\|\mathsf{X}\cdot b\|^2 + \frac{C}{\epsilon_1}\|\mathsf{X}^*\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}\}u\|^2\end{aligned}$$

for an arbitrary constant $0 < \epsilon_1 \leq 1$ to be chosen later. In addition, it holds

$$\sum_{i=1}^{d}(\mathsf{X}_i c, \Lambda_i(r)) \leq \frac{1}{2}\|\mathsf{X}c\|^2 + C\|\Lambda(r)\|^2.$$

By putting the above two estimates into (4.21) and using (4.20) and Lemma 2.1, one has

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{d}{dt}\sum_{i=1}^{d}(\mathsf{X}_i c, \Lambda_i(\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}\}u)) + \lambda(\|\mathsf{X}c\|^2 + \|c\|^2)\\ &\qquad\qquad \leq \epsilon_1\|\mathsf{X}\cdot b\|^2 + \frac{C}{\epsilon_1}(\|\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}\}\mathsf{X}u\|^2 + \|\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}\}u\|^2),\end{aligned} \tag{4.22}$$

where due to Lemma 4.2 and Poincaré inequality in Proposition 5.1, $\|c\|^2$ was included in the dissipation rate.

*Step 2.* Estimate on $b$: $(4.17)_4$ gives

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{ij=1}^{d}\|\mathsf{X}_i b_j + \mathsf{X}_j b_i\|^2 &= \sum_{ij=1}^{d}(\mathsf{X}_i b_j + \mathsf{X}_j b_i, -\partial_t[\Gamma_{ij}(\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}\}u) + 2c\delta_{ij}] + \Gamma_{ij}(r))\\ &= -\frac{d}{dt}\sum_{ij=1}^{d}(\mathsf{X}_i b_j + \mathsf{X}_j b_i, \Gamma_{ij}(\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}\}u) + 2c\delta_{ij})\\ &\quad + \sum_{ij=1}^{d}(\mathsf{X}_i\partial_t b_j + \mathsf{X}_j\partial_t b_i, \Gamma_{ij}(\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}\}u) + 2c\delta_{ij})\\ &\quad + \sum_{ij=1}^{d}(\mathsf{X}_i b_j + \mathsf{X}_j b_i, \Gamma_{ij}(r)).\end{aligned} \tag{4.23}$$

From $(4.17)_2$, $\partial_t b$ is replaced to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{ij=1}^{d}(\mathsf{X}_i\partial_t b_j+\mathsf{X}_j\partial_t b_i,\Gamma_{ij}(\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}\}u)+2c\delta_{ij})\\
&=2\sum_{ij=1}^{d}(\partial_t b_i,\mathsf{X}_j^*\Gamma_{ij}(\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}\}u)+2\mathsf{X}_j^*c\delta_{ij})\\
&=2\sum_{ij=1}^{d}(-\mathsf{X}_i(a+2c)+\sum_{\ell=1}^{d}\mathsf{X}_\ell^*\Gamma_{\ell i}(\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}\}u),\mathsf{X}_j^*\Gamma_{ij}(\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}\}u)+2\mathsf{X}_j^*c\delta_{ij})\\
&\le\epsilon_2\|\mathsf{X}a\|^2+\frac{C}{\epsilon_2}(\|\mathsf{X}^*c\|^2+\|\mathsf{X}c\|^2)+\frac{C}{\epsilon_2}\|\mathsf{X}^*\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}\}u\|^2
\end{aligned}$$

for an arbitrary constant $0<\epsilon_2\le 1$ to be chosen later. The final term on the r.h.s. of (4.23) is bounded by

$$\sum_{ij=1}^{d}(\mathsf{X}_i b_j+\mathsf{X}_j b_i,\Gamma_{ij}(r))\le\frac{1}{2}\sum_{ij=1}^{d}\|\mathsf{X}_i b_j+\mathsf{X}_j b_i\|^2+C\|\Gamma(r)\|^2.$$

Similar to Step 1, it follows from (4.23) that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}\sum_{ij=1}^{d}(\mathsf{X}_i b_j+\mathsf{X}_j b_i,\Gamma_{ij}(\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}\}u)+2c\delta_{ij})+\lambda\sum_{i=1}^{d}(\|\mathsf{X}b_i\|^2+\|b_i\|^2)\\
&\quad\le\epsilon_2\|\mathsf{X}a\|^2+\frac{C}{\epsilon_2}(\|\mathsf{X}c\|^2+\|c\|^2)+\frac{C}{\epsilon_2}(\|\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}\}\mathsf{X}u\|^2+\|\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}\}u\|^2),
\end{aligned}\tag{4.24}$$

where due to Lemma 4.2, Korn inequalities (5.5) and (5.14) were used.

*Step 3.* Estimate on $a$: $(4.17)_2$ implies

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathsf{X}a\|^2&=\sum_{i=1}^{d}(\mathsf{X}_i a,-\partial_t b_i-2\mathsf{X}_i c+\sum_{j=1}^{d}\mathsf{X}_j^*\Gamma_{ij}(\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}\}u))\\
&=-\frac{d}{dt}\sum_{i=1}^{d}(\mathsf{X}_i a,b_i)+\sum_{i=1}^{d}(\mathsf{X}_i\partial_t a,b_i)\\
&\quad+\sum_{i=1}^{d}(\mathsf{X}_i a,-2\mathsf{X}_i c+\sum_{j=1}^{d}\mathsf{X}_j^*\Gamma_{ij}(\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}\}u)),
\end{aligned}$$

where it further holds that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{d}(\mathsf{X}_i\partial_t a,b_i)=(\partial_t a,\mathsf{X}^*\cdot b)=\|\mathsf{X}^*\cdot b\|^2\le C\sum_{i=1}^{d}(\|\mathsf{X}b_i\|^2+\|b_i\|^2)$$

by $(4.17)_1$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{i=1}^{d}(\mathsf{X}_i a,-2\mathsf{X}_i c+\sum_{j=1}^{d}\mathsf{X}_j^*\Gamma_{ij}(\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}\}u))\\
&\qquad\le\frac{1}{2}\|\mathsf{X}a\|^2+C\|\mathsf{X}c\|^2+C\|\mathsf{X}^*\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}\}u\|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Then, it follows

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}\sum_{i=1}^{d}(\mathsf{X}_i a,b_i)+\lambda(\|\mathsf{X}a\|^2+\|a\|^2)\\
&\le C\sum_{i=1}^{d}(\|\mathsf{X}b_i\|^2+\|b_i\|^2)+C\|\mathsf{X}c\|^2+C(\|\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}\}\mathsf{X}u\|^2+\|\{\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{P}\}u\|^2),
\end{aligned}\tag{4.25}$$

where again due to Lemma 4.2, Poincaré inequality in Proposition 5.1 was used for $a$.

Finally, define $\mathcal{E}_{\rm int}(u(t))$ as in (4.18). Then, for properly chosen constants $0<\kappa_2\ll\kappa_1\ll 1$ and small constants $\epsilon_1>0,\epsilon_2>0$, (4.19) follows from the linear combination of three inequalities (4.22), (4.24) and (4.25). This completes the proof of Lemma 4.3. ☐

Now, for $t\geq 0$, define

$$\mathcal{E}(u(t))=\|u\|^2+\kappa_3(\|\mathsf{X}u\|^2+\|\mathsf{Y}u\|^2)+\kappa_4\mathcal{E}_{\rm int}(u(t))$$

where $\mathcal{E}_{\rm int}(u(t))$ is defined by (4.18) and constants $0<\kappa_4\ll\kappa_3\ll 1$ are to be chosen later. By letting $0<\kappa_4\ll\kappa_3\ll 1$ be properly chosen, one has

$$\mathcal{E}(u(t))\sim\|u(t)\|^2_{\mathcal{H}^1}.$$

On the other hand, by further properly choosing $0<\kappa_4\ll\kappa_3\ll 1$, the linear combination of (4.15), (4.16) and (4.19) yields

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{E}(u(t))+\lambda\mathcal{E}(u(t))\leq 0,$$

where $\epsilon>0$ in (4.16) was also chosen small enough. Hence, (1.18) follows from Gronwall inequality. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2 for Model 3.

# 5 Appendix: Poincaré and Korn-type inequalities

Let $V=V(x)$ be a smooth confining function over $\mathbb{R}^d$ with

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}e^{-V(x)}dx=1. \tag{5.1}$$

We need assumptions on $V$:

$$\frac{1}{4}|\nabla V|^2-\frac{1}{2}\Delta V\to\infty\ \text{ as } |x|\to\infty \tag{5.2}$$

or

$$\frac{1}{4}|\nabla V|^2-\frac{1}{2}\Delta V-\sum_{ij=1}^{d}|\partial_i\partial_j V|\to\infty\ \text{ as } |x|\to\infty. \tag{5.3}$$

Define operators $\mathsf{X}_i$ $(1\leq i\leq d)$ associated with $V$ as in (1.3). Throughout this section, differential operators $\nabla,\Delta,\nabla^2$ denotes $\nabla_x,\Delta_x,\nabla_x^2$ for simplicity since all functions are those of spatial variable. The following Poincaré inequality in $\mathbb{R}^d$ has been used in this paper.

**Proposition 5.1.** *Let $d\geq 1$. Suppose* (5.1) *and* (5.2) *hold. There is a constant $\lambda>0$ such that*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|\mathsf{X}_i a|^2dx\geq\lambda\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|a|^2dx \tag{5.4}$$

*holds for any $a:\mathbb{R}^d\to\mathbb{R}\in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ satisfying*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}e^{-\frac{V(x)}{2}}a\,dx=0.$$

For the proof of the above proposition, refer to [27] on the basis of the constructive method, where $\lambda$ can be explicitly computed.

The following Korn-type inequality in $\mathbb{R}^d$ was also essentially used in the previous proof to obtain the dissipation of the macroscopic momentum.

**Theorem 5.1.** *Let $d \geq 2$. Suppose* (5.1) *and* (5.3) *hold. There is a constant $\lambda > 0$ such that*

$$\sum_{ij=1}^{d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\mathsf{X}_i b_j + \mathsf{X}_j b_i|^2 dx \geq \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |b_i|^2 dx \tag{5.5}$$

*holds for any $b = (b_1, b_2, \cdots, b_d) : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d \in (L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))^d$ satisfying*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-\frac{V(x)}{2}} b(x) dx = 0$$

*and*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-\frac{V(x)}{2}} (x_i b_j - x_j b_i) dx = 0, \quad 1 \leq i \neq j \leq d.$$

*Proof.* We use the contradiction argument.

*Step 1.* Otherwise, for any $n \geq 1$, there is a non-zero element $b^n = (b_1^n, b_2^n, \cdots, b_d^n) \in (L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))^d$ with

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-\frac{V(x)}{2}} b^n(x) dx = 0$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-\frac{V(x)}{2}} x \times b^n(x) dx = 0,$$

such that

$$\sum_{ij=1}^{d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\mathsf{X}_i b_j^n + \mathsf{X}_j b_i^n|^2 dx \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |b_i^n|^2 dx.$$

W.l.g., one can suppose

$$\sum_{i=1}^{d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |b_i^n|^2 dx = 1.$$

Thus,

$$\sum_{ij=1}^{d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\mathsf{X}_i b_j^n + \mathsf{X}_j b_i^n|^2 dx \leq \frac{1}{n}.$$

*Step 2.* We claim that there is a constant $C$ independent of $n \geq 1$ and a continuous function $w(x)$ only depending on $V$ with

$$\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} w(x) \geq 1 \text{ and } \ w(x) \to \infty \ \text{ as } |x| \to \infty$$

such that

$$\sup_{n \geq 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla b^n|^2 + |b^n|^2 w(x) dx \leq C.$$

In fact, one can compute

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{ij=1}^{d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\mathsf{X}_i b_j^n + \mathsf{X}_j b_i^n|^2 dx &= 2 \sum_{ij=1}^{d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\mathsf{X}_i b_j^n|^2 dx + 2 \sum_{ij=1}^{d} (\mathsf{X}_i b_j^n, \mathsf{X}_j b_i^n) \\ &=: I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

For $I_1$, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= 2 \sum_{ij=1}^{d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\mathsf{X}_i b_j^n|^2 dx \\ &= 2 \sum_{ij=1}^{d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\partial_i b_j^n|^2 + |b_j^n|^2 (\frac{1}{4} |\partial_i V|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \partial_i \partial_i V) dx \\ &= 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla b^n|^2 + |b^n|^2 (\frac{1}{4} |\nabla V|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \Delta V) dx. \end{aligned}$$

For $I_2$, from integration by parts, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}
I_2 &= 2\sum_{ij=1}^{d}(\mathsf{X}_i b_j^n, \mathsf{X}_j b_i^n) = 2\sum_{ij=1}^{d}(\frac{1}{2}\partial_i V b_j^n + \partial_i b_j^n, \frac{1}{2}\partial_j V b_i^n + \partial_j b_i^n)\\
&= 2\sum_{ij=1}^{d}\Big[(\frac{1}{2}\partial_i V b_j^n, \frac{1}{2}\partial_j V b_i^n) + (\partial_i b_j^n, \partial_j b_i^n) + (\frac{1}{2}\partial_i V b_j^n, \partial_j b_i^n) + (\partial_i b_j^n, \frac{1}{2}\partial_j V b_i^n)\Big]\\
&= 2\sum_{ij=1}^{d}\Big[(\frac{1}{2}\partial_i V b_i^n, \frac{1}{2}\partial_j V b_j^n) + (\partial_i b_i^n, \partial_j b_j^n)\Big]\\
&\quad +2\sum_{ij=1}^{d}\Big[-(\frac{1}{2}\partial_j\partial_i V b_j^n, b_i^n) - (\frac{1}{2}\partial_i V\partial_j b_j^n, b_i^n) - (\frac{1}{2}\partial_i\partial_j V b_i^n, b_j^n) - (\frac{1}{2}\partial_j V\partial_i b_i^n, b_j^n)\Big]
\end{aligned}$$

which further can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
I_2 &= 2\Big[(\frac{1}{2}\nabla V\cdot b^n, \frac{1}{2}\nabla V\cdot b^n) + (\nabla\cdot b^n, \nabla\cdot b^n) - (\nabla V\cdot b^n, \nabla\cdot b^n)\Big]\\
&\quad -2(b^n, \nabla^2 V b^n)\\
&= 2\|\frac{1}{2}\nabla V\cdot b^n - \nabla\cdot b^n\|^2 - 2(b^n, \nabla^2 V b^n).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, it follows

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 + I_2 = 2\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|\nabla b^n|^2 + |b^n|^2(\frac{1}{4}|\nabla V|^2 - \frac{1}{2}\Delta V)dx\\
+\|\frac{1}{2}\nabla V\cdot b^n - \nabla\cdot b^n\|^2 - 2(b^n, \nabla^2 V b^n).
\end{aligned}$$

Then, this implies

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 + I_2 \geq 2\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|\nabla b^n|^2 + |b^n|^2(\frac{1}{4}|\nabla V|^2 - \frac{1}{2}\Delta V - \sum_{ij=1}^{d}|\partial_i\partial_j V|)dx\\
+\|\frac{1}{2}\nabla V\cdot b^n - \nabla\cdot b^n\|^2. \qquad (5.7)
\end{aligned}$$

Now, define

$$w(x) = \max\{\frac{1}{4}|\nabla V|^2 - \frac{1}{2}\Delta V - \sum_{ij=1}^{d}|\partial_i\partial_j V|, 1\}.$$

Due to (5.3), $w(x)\to\infty$ as $|x|\to\infty$. Choosing $R>0$ large enough, one has

$$w(x) = \frac{1}{4}|\nabla V|^2 - \frac{1}{2}\Delta V - \sum_{ij=1}^{d}|\partial_i\partial_j V|$$

for $|x|\geq R$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|b^n|^2(\frac{1}{4}|\nabla V|^2 - \frac{1}{2}\Delta V - \sum_{ij=1}^{d}|\partial_i\partial_j V|)dx = \int_{|x|\geq R} + \int_{|x|\leq R}\\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|b^n|^2 w(x)dx - \int_{|x|\leq R}|b^n|^2 w(x)dx + \int_{|x|\leq R}\\
&\geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|b^n|^2 w(x)dx - C_{R,V},
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$C_{R,V}=\sup_{|x|\le R}w(x)-\inf_{|x|\le R}(\frac{1}{4}|\nabla V|^2-\frac{1}{2}\Delta V-\sum_{ij=1}^{d}|\partial_i\partial_j V|).$$

Then, it follows that

$$\sum_{ij=1}^{d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|\mathsf{X}_i b_j^n+\mathsf{X}_j b_i^n|^2dx=I_1+I_2$$

$$\ge 2\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|\nabla b^n|^2+|b^n|^2w(x)dx+\|\frac{1}{2}\nabla V\cdot b^n-\nabla\cdot b^n\|^2-2C_{R,V}.$$

That is,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|\nabla b^n|^2+|b^n|^2w(x)dx\le\frac{1}{2}\sum_{ij=1}^{d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|\mathsf{X}_i b_j^n+\mathsf{X}_j b_i^n|^2dx+C_{R,V}$$

$$\le\frac{1}{2n}+C_{R,V}\le\frac{1}{2}+C_{R,V}.$$

Hence, the claim follows.

*Step 3.* From the Rellich-Kondrachov compactness theorem, up to a subsequence, $(b^n)_{n\ge1}$ strongly converges to some function $b\in(L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))^d$, i.e.

$$\|b^n-b\|\to0\text{ as }n\to\infty.$$

Thus, $b$ satisfies

$$\|b\|=1,\tag{5.8}$$

and

$$X_ib_j+X_jb_i=0,\quad 1\le i,j\le d,\tag{5.9}$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}e^{-\frac{V(x)}{2}}b(x)dx=0,\tag{5.10}$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}e^{-\frac{V(x)}{2}}(x_ib_j-x_jb_i)dx=0,\quad 1\le i\ne j\le d.\tag{5.11}$$

*Step 4.* We claim that (5.9), (5.10) and (5.11) imply $b=0$, which is a contradiction to (5.8). In fact, set

$$b_i(x)=e^{-\frac{V}{2}}\tilde{b}_i(x),\quad i=1,2,\cdots,d.$$

From $X_ib_i=0$,

$$\partial_i\tilde{b}_i=0.$$

Then, $\tilde{b}_i(x)$ is independent of $x_i$. Thus, set $\tilde{b}_i=\tilde{b}_i(\tilde{x}_i)$, where $\tilde{x}_i$ is a variable $(x_1,x_2,\cdots,x_d)$ excluding $x_i$. From (5.9) for $i\ne j$, one has

$$\partial_i\tilde{b}_j(\tilde{x}_j)+\partial_j\tilde{b}_i(\tilde{x}_i)=0,\quad i\ne j.\tag{5.12}$$

This implies that $\tilde{b}_i(\tilde{x}_i)$ is linear in each $x_j$ $(j\ne i)$. Thus,

$$\tilde{b}_i(\tilde{x}_i)=\sum_{\{\alpha:\,\alpha_i=0,\alpha_j=0\text{ or }1,j\ne i\}}C_\alpha^i x^\alpha.$$

It suffices to prove all coefficients $C_\alpha^i$ vanish. In what follows, fix $i\in\{1,\cdots,d\}$.

*Case 1.* $|\alpha| = 0$. Due to (5.10),

$$C^i_\alpha = 0.$$

*Case 2.* $2 \le |\alpha| \le d-1$. Let $\ell = |\alpha|$ and take

$$\{i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_\ell\} \subset \{1, 2, \cdots, d\}.$$

Consider the coefficient of the monomial

$$\Pi^k_{j=1} x_{i_j}.$$

It only appears in $\tilde{b}_j$ with $j \in \{1, 2, \cdots, d\} \backslash \{i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_\ell\}$. Take

$$i_{\ell+1} \in \{1, 2, \cdots, d\} \backslash \{i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_\ell\}.$$

Consider the following three functions

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{b}_{i_{\ell+1}} &= \cdots + C_1 x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_{\ell-1}} x_{i_\ell} + \cdots, \\ \tilde{b}_{i_\ell} &= \cdots + C_2 x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_{\ell-1}} x_{i_{\ell+1}} + \cdots, \\ \tilde{b}_{i_{\ell-1}} &= \cdots + C_3 x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_{\ell-2}} x_{i_\ell} x_{i_{\ell+1}} + \cdots, \end{aligned}$$

where $C_1, C_2, C_3$ are the corresponding constant coefficients. Due to (5.12),

$$C_1 + C_2 = 0, \quad C_2 + C_3 = 0, \quad C_3 + C_1 = 0.$$

Thus, $C_1 = C_2 = C_3 = 0$. The coefficient of $\Pi^k_{j=1} x_{i_j}$ is zero. This proves

$$C^i_\alpha = 0$$

when $2 \le |\alpha| \le d-1$.

*Case 3.* $|\alpha| = 1$. Take $j \neq i$. Consider the function

$$\tilde{b}_j = \cdots + C_i x_i + \cdots,$$

where $C_i$ is a constant. Due to (5.12), $\tilde{b}_i$ is in the form of

$$\tilde{b}_i = \cdots - C_i x_j + \cdots.$$

By using (5.11), one has

$$0 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-V} (x_i \tilde{b}_j - x_j \tilde{b}_i) dx = C_i \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-V} (x_i^2 + x_j^2) dx.$$

Then, $C_i = 0$. Thus,

$$C^i_\alpha = 0$$

when $|\alpha| = 1$. This also completes the proof of Theorem 5.1. □

**Corollary 5.1.** *Let $d \ge 2$. Suppose (5.1) and (5.3) hold. Further assume that there is a constant $C$ such that*

$$|\nabla^2 V|^2 \le C(|\nabla V|^2 + 1) \tag{5.13}$$

*for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Then, there is a constant $\lambda > 0$ such that*

$$\sum_{ij=1}^d \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\mathsf{X}_i b_j + \mathsf{X}_j b_i|^2 dx \ge \lambda \sum_{ij=1}^d \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\mathsf{X}_i b_j|^2 dx \tag{5.14}$$

*holds for any $b = (b_1, b_2, \cdots, b_d) : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d \in (L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))^d$ satisfying*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-\frac{V(x)}{2}} b(x) dx = 0$$

*and*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-\frac{V(x)}{2}} (x_i b_j - x_j b_i) dx = 0, \quad 1 \le i \neq j \le d.$$

*Proof.* Recall from (5.6) and (5.7) that one has the inequality

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{ij=1}^{d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|\mathsf{X}_i b_j+\mathsf{X}_j b_i|^2dx\\ &\geq 2\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|\nabla b|^2+|b|^2(\frac{1}{4}|\nabla V|^2-\frac{1}{2}\Delta V-\sum_{ij=1}^{d}|\partial_i\partial_j V|)dx.\end{aligned}\tag{5.15}$$

From the assumption (5.13),

$$\begin{aligned}|\nabla V|^2&=4(\frac{1}{4}|\nabla V|^2-\frac{1}{2}\Delta V)+2\Delta V\\ &\geq 4(\frac{1}{4}|\nabla V|^2-\frac{1}{2}\Delta V)-\epsilon|\nabla^2 V|^2-C_\epsilon\\ &\geq 4(\frac{1}{4}|\nabla V|^2-\frac{1}{2}\Delta V)-C\epsilon|\nabla V|^2-C_\epsilon\end{aligned}$$

for any $\epsilon>0$. This implies

$$|\nabla V|^2\geq\lambda(\frac{1}{4}|\nabla V|^2-\frac{1}{2}\Delta V)-C_\lambda\tag{5.16}$$

for some constant $0<\lambda<4$. Similarly, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{4}|\nabla V|^2-\frac{1}{2}\Delta V-\sum_{ij=1}^{d}|\partial_i\partial_j V|&\geq\frac{1}{4}|\nabla V|^2-\epsilon|\nabla^2 V|^2-C_\epsilon\\ &\geq(\frac{1}{4}-C\epsilon)|\nabla V|^2-C_\epsilon\end{aligned}\tag{5.17}$$

for any $\epsilon>0$. Then, combining (5.16) and (5.17), it follows

$$\frac{1}{4}|\nabla V|^2-\frac{1}{2}\Delta V-\sum_{ij=1}^{d}|\partial_i\partial_j V|\geq\lambda(\frac{1}{4}|\nabla V|^2-\frac{1}{2}\Delta V)-C_\lambda$$

for some constant $0<\lambda<1$. Plugging the above inequality into (5.15) gives

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{ij=1}^{d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|\mathsf{X}_i b_j+\mathsf{X}_j b_i|^2dx\\ &\geq\lambda\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|\nabla b|^2+|b|^2(\frac{1}{4}|\nabla V|^2-\frac{1}{2}\Delta V)dx-C_\lambda\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|b|^2dx.\end{aligned}$$

From the Korn-type inequality (5.5), it further follows

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{ij=1}^{d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|\mathsf{X}_i b_j+\mathsf{X}_j b_i|^2dx&\geq\lambda\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|\nabla b|^2+|b|^2(\frac{1}{4}|\nabla V|^2-\frac{1}{2}\Delta V)dx\\ &=\lambda\sum_{ij=1}^{d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|\mathsf{X}_i b_j|^2dx.\end{aligned}$$

This proves (5.14) and thus completes the proof of Corollary 5.1. □

We conclude this paper with a remark that Proposition 5.1, Theorem 5.1 and Corollary 5.1 can be described in terms of the probability measure $d\mu=e^{-V(x)}dx$ as in [27], where $V$ satisfies the corresponding conditions. In fact, equivalently, (5.4) become

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|\nabla a|^2d\mu\geq\lambda\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|a|^2d\mu$$

for $a$ with $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} a d\mu = 0$. Similarly, (5.5) and (5.14) are respectively equivalent with

$$\sum_{ij=1}^{d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\partial_i b_j + \partial_j b_i|^2 d\mu \geq \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |b_i|^2 d\mu$$

and

$$\sum_{ij=1}^{d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\partial_i b_j + \partial_j b_i|^2 d\mu \geq \lambda \sum_{ij=1}^{d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\partial_i b_j|^2 d\mu$$

for $b = (b_1, b_2, \cdots, b_d)$ with

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} b\, d\mu = 0 \ \text{ and } \ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x \times b\, d\mu = 0.$$

**Acknowledgments:** The author thanks Jean Dolbeault and Clément Mouhot for their helpful discussions and suggestions for the development of this work. The author would like to thank Peter Markowich and Massimo Fornasier for their strong support during the study of this work at RICAM. Warm thanks go to Seiji Ukai, Tong Yang, Huijiang Zhao and Changjiang Zhu for their continuous encouragement.

## References

[1] M.-J. Cáceres, J.-A. Carrillo and T. Goudon, Equilibration rate for the linear inhomogeneous relaxation-time Boltzmann equation for charged particles, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* **28** (2003), 969–989.

[2] C. Cercignani, R. Illner and M. Pulvirenti, *The Mathematical Theory of Dilute Gases*, Applied Mathematical Sciences, **106**. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1994.

[3] L. Desvillettes and C. Villani, On the trend to global equilibrium for spatially inhomogeneous kinetic systems: The Boltzmann equation. *Invent. Math.* **159** (2) (2005), 245-316.

[4] J. Dolbeault, C. Mouhot and C. Schmeiser, Hypocoercivity for kinetic equations with linear relaxation terms, *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **347** (2009), 511–516.

[5] R.-J. Duan, On the Cauchy problem for the Boltzmann equation in the whole space: Global existence and uniform stability in $L^2_\xi(H^N_x)$, *Journal of Differential Equations* **244** (2008), 3204–3234.

[6] R.-J. Duan, Stability of the Boltzmann equation with potential forces on Torus, *Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena* **238** (2009), 1808–1820.

[7] R.-J. Duan, M. Fornasier and G. Toscani, A kinetic flocking model with diffusions, preprint (2009).

[8] R.-J. Duan and R.M. Strain, Optimal time decay of the Vlasov-Poisson-Boltzmann system in $\mathbb{R}^3$, preprint (2009).

[9] R.-J. Duan, S. Ukai, T. Yang and H.-J. Zhao, Optimal decay estimates on the linearized Boltzmann equation with time-dependent forces and their applications, *Communications in Mathematical Physics* **277** (1)(2008), 189–236.

[10] R.T. Glassey, *The Cauchy Problem in Kinetic Theory.* Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics (SIAM), Philadelphia, PA, 1996. xii+241 pp.

[11] Y. Guo, Boltzmann diffusive limit beyond the Navier-Stokes approximation, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **59** (2006), 626–687.

[12] Y. Guo, The Boltzmann equation in the whole space, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **53** (2004), 1081–1094.

[13] Y. Guo, The Landau equation in a periodic box, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **231** (2002), 391–434.

[14] F. Hérau, Hypocoercivity and exponential time decay for the linear inhomogeneous relaxation Boltzmann equation, *Asymptot. Anal.* **46** (2006), 349–359.

[15] F. Hérau and F. Nier, Isotropic hypoellipticity and trend to equilibrium for the Fokker-Planck equation with a high-degree potential, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **171** (2004), 151–218.

[16] F. Hérau, Short and long time behavior of the Fokker-Planck equation in a confining potential and applications, *J. Funct. Anal.* **244** (2007), 95–118.

[17] J. Jang, Vlasov-Maxwell-Boltzmann diffusive limit, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **194** (2009), 531–584.

[18] S. Kawashima, Systems of a hyperbolic-parabolic composite type, with applications to the equations of magnetohydrodynamics, thesis, Kyoto University (1983).

[19] S. Kawashima, The Boltzmann equation and thirteen moments, *Japan J. Appl. Math.* **7** (1990), 301–320.

[20] T.-P. Liu, T. Yang and S.-H. Yu, Energy method for the Boltzmann equation, *Physica D* **188** (3-4) (2004), 178–192.

[21] T.-P. Liu and S.-H. Yu, Boltzmann equation: micro-macro decompositions and positivity of shock profiles, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **246** (1) (2004), 133–179.

[22] C. Mouhot and L. Neumann, Quantitative perturbative study of convergence to equilibrium for collisional kinetic models in the torus, *Nonlinearity* **19** (4) (2006), 969–998.

[23] R.M. Strain and Y. Guo, Almost exponential decay near Maxwellian, *Comm. P.D.E.* **31** (2006),417–429.

[24] R.M. Strain and Y. Guo, Exponential decay for soft potentials near Maxwellian, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **187** (2008), 287–339.

[25] S. Ukai, On the existence of global solutions of mixed problem for non-linear Boltzmann equation, *Proceedings of the Japan Academy* **50** (1974), 179–184.

[26] S. Ukai and T. Yang, The Boltzmann equation in the space $L^2 \cap L^\infty_\beta$: Global and time-periodic solutions, *Analysis and Applications* **4** (2006), 263–310.

[27] C. Villani, Hypocoercivity, *Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc.*, in press (2009).